

The Rule of the Anunnaki

Lecture by Jan Erik Sigdell at [Kongress für Grenzwissen](#) in Regen (Germany) on October 8, 2016

Translated from <http://www.christian-reincarnation.com/PDF/Ann.pdf>, paragraphs below that are marked * at the first line were added in the translation.

Who are the Anunnaki and what do we know about them?

They are also called Anunna. Today there is much written and told about them. The public attention for them began when Zecharia Sitchin published a series of books about this subject. The first book is titled *The Twelfth Planet*. Its title relates to the hypothesis that there would be another planet beyond the nine known by our present-day astronomy, which he in a later book named Nibiru. In ancient astronomy, also the Sun and the Moon were regarded as planets. If we include them in the counting there are eleven planets known to us and thus a further planet becomes the twelfth. If we do not include them, an additional planet is the tenth and is by some called Planet X.

Where did Sitchin get this from? He refers to age-old clay tablets with cuneiform writing that in the course of centuries were found in Mesopotamia. It was not before the middle of the 19th century that one slowly became able to decipher the characters on the tablets and their more or less different languages. A whole library came forward with highly interesting texts that with continued translations grew in number. They tell about a people that after the name Anu of its ruler was called Anunnaki. One of the most interesting texts in our context is called *Enuma Elish* after its two first words that mean “when high above”. Where above? In the “heavenly heights” outside our Earth.

There are, of course, many other cuneiform writings that are important in this context, among which I especially want to mention *Atra-Hasis*.

Enuma Elish is a creation story and tells about how the universe was created with all its levels of existence, worlds, heavenly bodies and created beings. It tells about a primordial creator pair Apsu and Tiamat, which we could regard as two aspects of an androgynous entity. The female aspect that “gave birth” to the creation is Tiamat, a creation that thus proceeded from these two. There is also a third entity mentioned, Apsus “vizier” Mummu, about whom we know very little so that he is not easy to fit into the whole and whose role is uncertain.

Out of this trinity, a series of created entities emerged stepwise, which were called “gods”. They usually appeared in pairs and multiplied in growing numbers so that there were an increasing number of “gods”, who organized themselves in a relatively hierarchic manner. After the first steps in this development these “gods” became “too loud” for the primordial creator Apsu and disturbed the order in the creation. Apsu had no rest anymore and considered reversing their creation, which would mean reabsorbing them in the primordial energy. In that way, they would not really be killed but their existence would be set back to their source. Mummu actually advice him to do so, but Tiamat opposed it and wanted to tolerate them benevolently, since, after all, she was their primordial grandmother. The Anunnaki learned about these plans, killed Apsu, and fettered Mummu. They later let one of them, a “god” named Marduk, kill Tiamat in a violent fight. But could one really kill the original creator? Of course not because then the creation would no more exist and also not the Anunnaki. It can only mean that they turned their backs to them and lived as if their creators no more existed – letting their people believe that they were dead. Thus, they took power over a part of Apsu’s creation for themselves that they then called Apsu, since one should no more know about the real Apsu. There they established their own world as if there were no other worlds. In this world, energies condensed to matter and a big planet was formed that became their home. According to Sitchin, this planet would be that twelfth one that he later called Nibiru. However, it is so far rather unclear if Nibiru is this hypothetical planet since there are certain problems of identification. Some information seems to indicate that the home planet of the Anunnaki has possibly come into our solar system from somewhere else in the universe.

As concerns Nibiru, a twelfth planet far out there does not fit very well with the text in *Enuma Elish*. In my view, this would be another planet and we would be discussing two different ones.

This subjective description of the creation can only mean that there are also other realms in it than only the enclave of the Anunnaki, but this is not supposed to be known in their world. The cuneiform tablets in a biased manner only tell about them and about what they have done with the humanity on our Earth and how the humanity developed thereafter. For that reason, the tablet texts do not mention other “gods” and civilizations in other realms, even though they will doubtlessly exist in the universe. Otherwise, there would hardly be much “good” but rather more “negative” in the creation...

Sitchin does not mention the “deicide” in his books – the murder of the primordial Gods, which reveal these Anunnaki as morally primitive and rather “divine” barbarians. They appear to us like “fallen angels”, who furthermore reverse the concepts of “good and evil” and in their view declare the original creators as evil since they wanted to undo a wayward part of the creation. Who to the unprejudiced observer appears as “evil” are the people of the Anunnaki. Sitchin's books are rather biased and his interpretations are in many parts controversial.

With this attitude, the Anunnaki later occupied a planet in a realm that dimensionally was neighboring their enclave since they wanted to take minerals from there to their own planet. This planet is our Earth. The presence of Anunnaki on our Earth made the development of our humanity proceed in a calamitous direction that endures still today.

There will already have been human beings and civilizations on our Earth before that. However, the intervention of the Anunnaki gave rise to a new humanity that became more prevalent as time went on. They were meant to be slaves for the Anunnaki as replacement of their own workers, who had to work hard in excavations and then revolted. Because of uprising in their own people, the Anunnaki decided to establish a slave race by means of genetic manipulation of terrestrial forms of life, which they combined with their own genes. After some failed experiments, they finally had the first ones of such a race, which after reproduction were put at work.

On the Earth, the Anunnaku¹ Enlil was the governor for the rulers of the Anunnaki as an administrator for them on our planet, in which function also his brother Enki played a role. Enlil despised this new humanity of the occupied planet, but Enki was more benevolent to them. They continued reproducing themselves, grew in numbers, and became too many for Enlil. They disturbed him and became “too loud” to him (much like to Apsu, see above) and he wanted to eradicate them with a deluge. He forbade his brother Enki to warn the humans, but he did it with a trick. He spoke to a reed wall but so that a man by name Atra-Hasis should hear it. This man is in other cuneiform texts called Utnapishtim or Ziusudra. Atra-Hasis should build a ship and take animals and humans in it to save them from the flood. It does, however, not appear to be a matter of hordes of living animals (and could not possibly be so), but rather of “slaughtered” animals, which leads the thought to parts of animals, especially their DNA, from which they could later be restored as life forms. Thus terrestrial forms of life, including those that were genetically manipulated by the Anunnaki, were saved through the deluge in order to repopulate the Earth. Enlil was furious when he learned about this: “Has a single one of these mortals escaped? No one should survive the destruction!”

The Anunnaki will be multidimensional beings, maybe five-dimensional. They will feed on energies that are above “our” three dimensions. The “flow of nutrition” in the creation obviously proceeds from the primordial light of the original creator, with which plants through the sunlight makes our food out of substances in the earth. The Anunnaki, however, turned themselves away from the creators and therewith also from the primordial source of nutrition. Thus, they no more have access to that source and feed (among other things) on us. They will hardly appreciate vegetables and meat, but so much more our life energy that is set free when the body dies. For that reason, they like to see that humans die violently since then so much more life energy is still stored in the body. Who dies wasting away has almost no more of it ... An image often says more than many words: In the Gilgamesh epic is told that when Utnapishtim at the end of the flood offered a sacrifice to them: “When the gods sensed the sweet smell, they gathered like flies over the sacrifice”...

¹ Since the „Anunnaki“ are “divine people”, the word is inherently plural and I use “Anunnaku” as a simplified singular.

*Is this the reason why the Bible forbids consuming blood and requires slaughtering by shechita? Is the blood for the gods and only the meat for us humans?

We here immediately recognize the biblical flood story, even though the two stories differ in certain details. It is only one of several stories that are found in similar versions in the Bible as well as in the clay-tablet texts. Many ethnologists and linguists who study the cuneiform texts therefore are of the opinion that these are the original source of the creation story in the Bible, no matter how theologians and rabbis oppose it...

The first sentence in the Bible already indicates a connection with *Enuma Elish*. The Hebrew text in Gen 1:1 reads: *Bere'shit bara' 'elohim 'et hashamayim ve'et ha'arets*, usually translated as “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth” (very few versions keep the plural of *shamayim* and translate as “the heavens”).

However you turn and twist it, *'elohim* is and remains the plural of *'eloah* = “god”. Therefore, one has tried to interpret as “... gods created ...”, but that does not fit grammatically, since the verb *bara'* has the singular form. With a sigh of relief, theologians then shove the riddle under the carpet and declare it to be *pluralis majestatis*. This grammatical form actually does exist in Hebrew but the problem has another solution that is probably avoided on purpose.

The conventional and “dogmatically approved” translation of *bere'shit* is based upon *be* = “in, at” and *re'shit* = “beginning”. Dictionaries do inform us, however, that *re'shit* can alternatively mean “the first one (of its kind)” and that *be* can refer to the “origin”. Thus, the word *bere'shit* can be understood as a somewhat tautological expression that would mean „the original first one” or “the very first one”, and that would be the *primordial creator*. Then the following fits grammatically: *The first one created the gods with the heavens and with the Earth*, or a bit more freely: *The primordial creator created the gods [together] with the cosmic worlds and with the Earth*. The little word *et* is left out in conventional translations. In one way, it can be seen as an accusative particle but it can also mean “with”, which does not fit in the conventional translation – but here it does make a sense! Thus, this supports the alternative translation.

The Hebrew word *shamayim* for “heaven” also has a plural form and can be understood as “cosmic worlds”. Who, then, are the “created gods”? In our context obviously those who live in the cosmic worlds – planets or other dimensional realms – and not only on the Earth, but *extraterrestrial life* in general: the Anunnaki *and other “gods” out there...* As mentioned above, the Anunnaki – in our hypothetical view of the Mesopotamian texts as potentially being based on real events – occupied a partial realm of the creation but there will also have to be other realms.

The translations of *Enuma Elish* and other cuneiform texts differ remarkably and partly very much. Where Apsu is mentioned in the beginning, he is usually called “the primordial one” or “the first one”. This fits with our understanding of *bere'shit* and indicates a connection.

These “gods” later withdrew but maintained an essentially invisible surveillance and control over the humanity on the Earth that they had genetically manipulated. They regard themselves as our creators and want to maintain a right of ownership that is unfounded. For one thing, true creation is a procedure that makes something appear out of the primordial energy of creation that was not there before. That the Anunnaki could not do. To manipulate and transform something that already exists is not a real creation. For another thing, they produced only the very first ancestors of a genetically manipulated human race, but not the humans that later came to be through natural reproduction. Hence, *we* in no way belong to them and irrespective of how they claim an alleged ownership over us, they are wrong. Even more so since they once wanted to eliminate us...

Since they want to have unjustified claims of ownership and, furthermore, obviously also have other interests in our planet, they still today maintain control over the terrestrial humanity and manipulate us in politics, globalised economy and in other ways. For that purpose, they make use of elites that handle the power over us, more or less unaware of who is pulling the strings behind the stage. These elites are to a major extent members of secret societies of a Masonic type, steps of a pyramid that is

governed by Anunnaki at its most secret top. These elites are also directly but invisibly and unknowingly controlled by Anunnaki. Some members of the elites may know how this is, others have simply sold their souls for power and success.

How can they maintain an invisible control and manipulate us through it? In today's physics there is no doubt, anymore, that our universe is multidimensional. We humans are probably on purpose manipulated such that we can perceive only three dimensions. If the universe has many more dimensions – maybe five, maybe nine or even twelve – it would be completely illogical to think that there would be no life in the other dimensions. There will beyond doubt be life in very many forms, in forms that we can hardly imagine, and also material worlds like ours. If the Anunnaki are, e.g., five-dimensional living beings and at home on a planet that is located more in other dimensions that are invisible to us, then it is no problem for them to stay invisible. They can observe us but we not them, unless they shift into our dimensional realm.

This is obviously so still today. We are surveilled and controlled. A specifically effective instrument for this is *religion*! In the beginning, it will have been so that human intermediates had contact with the Anunnaki and acted according to their orders. Such humans will now hardly be there, anymore, but there are institutions that originally were established by the Anunnaki to control us through laws, rules of behavior and myths about “gods” and “their creation” in order to keep us in ignorance of essential truths.

This does not mean that there is no primordial creator! The way out of this control matrix is not atheism, but to look behind the tales we are told so that we may gradually begin to see the truth. A truth they do not want us to know, wherefore they make this as difficult as possible for us. In the state that we are in at present, we may never see the whole truth but we may approach it step by step.

This also does not mean that all religions are such perfidious instruments of control. There are several religions on our planet and there have been even more of them earlier. We have most probably been visited by other “gods”, too, of which some have been more benevolent, wanting to support our development. They have also left religions behind that in many ways may be (or have been) closer to the truth.

It seems quite possible that Hinduism originated from more benevolent extraterrestrial visitors. Texts like the Mahabharata indicate that flying *vimanas* were fighting in the air and certain writings tell about horrible weapons that remind us of nuclear ones. Did they fight against Anunnaki? On the other side, a “god” Quetzalcoatl requested terrible blood sacrifices from the Aztecs. The Mayas was a peaceful people that towards the end became occupied by Aztecs and thus “aztekified” to bring similar bloody sacrifices. They called that “god” Kukulcan.²

We now come to an essential but controversial endeavor on my side, which is to present hard evidence that the Anunnaku Enlil is the one who has later been called Yahweh and still later Allah.

The Anunnaku Enlil, alias Yahweh, had a closed region named Eden, in which he established his own “creation”, a kind of a royal court garden for himself alone. There were two “trees” in it, the tree of life and the tree of knowledge. The humans he had “created” for this area should not “eat” from the latter. Why not? We have always been indoctrinated to believe that “eating” from that “tree” would be sexuality. This is based on an intentional confusion with the Hebrew word *jada'* that has a double meaning: “to know” and “to have intercourse”. The latter meaning will have fitted well in a patriarch society to reproach humans of sinfulness to have a better control over them. Cf. Gen 4:1: “And Adam knew (*jada'*) Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the Lord”. Yet the tree of knowledge has nothing to do with *jada'* and its Hebrew name is *'etz ha-da'at*! The word *jada'* is found nowhere in Gen 2. *Da'at* means “wisdom, truth”. Hence, we should not aspire for wisdom or seek truth, but obey without questioning...

² This does not agree with official historian views but derives from regression experiences in the ancient Mayan society.

*Actually, the literal meaning of Gen 4:1 is “The man knew that his woman Eve had become pregnant and she gave birth to Cain, saying ‘I acquired a man with Yahweh’”. There are extra-biblical texts, like certain writings of the Gnostic Christians, that indicate that Yahweh may have made her pregnant... Actually, Gen 5:3 indicates that the *third* child Seth would be the *firstborn* of Adam (hence born third of Eve only)³. Thus the understanding of *jada*‘, in one sense, as “intercourse” may rather be “wishful thinking”...

The following facts contradict the understanding of sexuality as “original sin”:

- Adam was forbidden to eat from that tree *before* Eve was “created”⁴ and sexuality was not yet an issue.
- The ate from the tree *one after the other*. Sexuality is something you do *together*.
- Eve did not get pregnant in Eden, but only later (Gen 4:1, see above).

Who, then, is this Yahweh? He is the god⁵ of the Hebrew Bible and of the Old Testament of the Christian Bible. The name is in Hebrew texts written only with consonants as YHWH since his name should not be pronounced in Judaism. When talking about him, one should rather say *Adonai*. This word is an ancient plural (again!) of *Adon* = lord. There are, consequently, various theories about what vowels should be associated with the four consonants. The name is also called Tetragrammaton: the four letters. The pronunciation that is today generally approved in theology is “Yahweh” but another rather common variant is “Yehowah”, in which one has essentially but not exactly taken over the vowels of *Adonai*. This name means “he who is” (“I am that I am” in Ex 3:14⁶). One has also tried with other vowels that lead to other meanings (one such attempt, *Yahawah*, even means “he brings calamity”...). Who is this god?

Yahweh through Moses led the Hebrews to the “Promised Land”. When they after walking many years through Sinai reached this land, they to their disappointment saw that it was not free. People lived there in towns. *Therefore Yahweh ordered that they should without exception all be killed, not sparing a child, a woman nor an old man so that they would live in “great and goodly cities, which thou buildedst not, and houses full of all good things, which thou filledst not, and wells digged, which thou diggedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not; when thou shalt have eaten and be full”* (Deut 6:10-11). Thus a veritable *holocaust* took place in which one town after the other was attacked and the killing hordes “left none remaining” as is vividly told on the *blood pages* of Deuteronomy, Joshua, Judges and others books in the Bible. After this complete *genocide*, they finally had stolen the land from its original inhabitants to have it for themselves. Is that a loving and peaceful god like the one that Jesus called “Father”? That, of course, cannot be!

Yahweh demonstrated his cruelty already during the wandering through Sinai. One example: in Num 16:35-49 is told that Yahweh killed 250 men as a punishment for offering incense. The people then protested and were punished for doing so in that Yahweh had 14700 of the die from a plague.

In Num 31:14-18 we read: “And Moses was wroth with the officers of the host, with the captains ... which came from the battle. And Moses said unto them, have ye saved all the women alive? ... Now therefore kill every male among the little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him.” But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves.” In Num 31:35 it is also reported that they brought 32000 virgin girls as booty from a raid but all the others were killed. Why should all these girls be kept alive? Doubtlessly for sexual services...

A few more examples: In Deut 2:34-35: “And we took all his cities at that time, and utterly destroyed [killed] the men, and the women, and the little ones, of every city, we left none to remain [survive]. Only the cattle we took for a prey unto ourselves, and the spoil of the cities which we

³ Cf. <http://contradictionsinthebible.com/who-is-adam-first-son/>.

⁴ As mentioned above not really created but “produced” with genetic material taken from Adam..

⁵ For reasons that will follow I here write “god” with a lower-case “g”.

⁶ Almost with an undertone of “it is not your business who I am”.

took.” (Clarifications in square brackets.) Hosea 13:16: “Samaria shall become desolate; for she hath rebelled against her God: they shall fall by the sword: their infants shall be dashed in pieces, and their women with child shall be ripped up.”

In the Psalms we read: “I have wounded them that they were not able to rise: they are fallen under my feet.” (18:38) “Thou shalt make them as a fiery oven in the time of thine anger: the Lord shall swallow them up in his wrath, and the fire shall devour them. Their fruit [children] shalt thou destroy from the earth, and their seed from among the children of men. For they intended evil against thee: they imagined a mischievous device, which they are not able to perform. Therefore shalt thou make them turn their back [flee], when thou shalt make ready thine arrows upon thy strings against the face of them.” (21:9-12) “Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones.” (137:9).

There is such a high number of examples of this kind that one could write a book about this alone. The highly learned German scholar Karlheinz Deschner wrote about it in his *Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums* (Criminal History of Christianity) Vol. 1⁷. A book especially worth reading is *Jehovah Unmasked!* von Nathaniel Merritt.

Merritt writes: “Human beings are victims of a race of elohim/Archons, or ‘gods’ that fashioned this material universe, and humankind, out of dead defiled pre-existent matter. ‘In the beginning the gods created the heavens and the earth’ (Genesis 1:1), and humans remain their possession to this day. To maintain control over humans, and to make sure Earth continues as our dungeon, the elohim have made this Earth a continual source of endless squabbles amongst humankind. They have created and fostered religious and spiritual delusions to keep us blinded to reality and fighting and warring with each other, and they have made Earth into a place of relentless pointless physical and mental suffering and struggle. This sorry state of affairs has existed from the beginning, lost in the mists of prehistory.”

Is it not obvious that Yahweh, who ruled over the people in that land and today wants to rule over the entire world – also through Churches that have adopted him as their “god” – in this manner *disqualifies* himself? When he says “Thou shalt have no other gods before me” (Ex 20:3), does it mean that there are no other gods, or is it a *non-competition clause*: There are other gods, but I shall be your only god and you shall have nothing to do with the others?

*Many passages in the Bible mention “gods”: “Who is like unto thee, O Lord, among the *gods*?” (Exodus 15:11). “Thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor with their *gods*... for if thou serve their *gods*, it will surely be a snare unto thee” (Exodus 23:32–3; emphasis added). “Upon their *gods* also the Lord executed judgments” (Numbers 33:4 – how could he do that if they do not exist?), and many other passages. The Lord was furious about Gentiles sacrificing their children – “for even their sons and their daughters they have burnt in the fire to their *gods*” (Deuteronomy 12:31) – and what does he do then? “When the Lord thy God shall have *destroyed* before thy face the nations, which thou then shalt go in to *possess*, and when thou shalt *possess* them, and dwell in their land” (Deuteronomy 12:29), he himself sends his hosts to kill them and their children. Other versions usually translate *yakrit* as “cut off,” from the verb *karat*, which also means *kill*, *destroy*, and *cut down*, like a tree – in this case obviously with swords.

Who, then, is Christ? Here *Enuma Elish* and other cuneiform tablets known to me cannot really help to find an answer. I instead turn to the Gnostic Christianity. This was the very first Christian movement that was there even before Paul (and was combated by him as he still called himself Saul). There were two circles around Jesus: the inner circle of his disciples and others that were close to him and the outer circle of people who had listened to his speeches in public. In the inner circle he doubtlessly spoke about things that he did not mention in the outer circle. Gnostic Christianity grew out of the inner circle. The Gnostic Christians had many texts, which gradually became lost after the council in Nicaea in 325, until a whole Gnostic library was discovered in Nag Hammadi in Egypt in

⁷ So far, to my knowing, translated only to Italian: http://www.edizioniarie.it/ilviandante_03.htm but apparently forthcoming in Spanish. The first book is available in Spanish as a PDF file here: http://www.paganoscostarica.com/libros/1.karlheinz_deschner-%20historia%20criminal%20del%20cristianismo.pdf.

1945. From this library, we know today that they did not consider Yahweh, who they called Yaldabaoth, to be the highest God, but instead a *mistake* in the creation. Powerful subordinate entities under him were called Archons and they will have been Anunnaki.

That is why he is called *error* in the Gnostic text *The Gospel of Truth*: “*That is the gospel of him whom they seek, which he has revealed to the perfect through the mercies of the Father as the hidden mystery, Jesus the Christ. Through him, he enlightened those who were in darkness because of forgetfulness. He enlightened them and gave them a path. And that path is the truth, which he taught them. For this reason, error was angry with him, so it persecuted him. It was distressed by him, so it made him powerless. He was nailed to a cross.*” Jesus knew and told too much about truths that Yahweh did not want us to know. For that reason, also the Gnostic Christianity was combated, that had arisen out of the inner circle around Jesus, and their texts were destroyed – until they were found again in Nag Hammadi. When Jesus talked about his “Father”, he certainly meant the highest creator and *not* Yahweh.

The Highest God is in the Gnostic texts simply mentioned as “The First Entity”. A female entity Barbelo also belonged to him and as a third one Autogenes: “The one that appeared out of himself”, who is Christ. Now we may quite well, dealing with Gnostic texts, compare the unnamed highest God with Apsu, Barbelo with Tiamat and then very hypothetically Christ/Autogenes with Mummu. The latter is speculative since, as mentioned above, we know too little about Mummu.

In my opinion, Jesus is not identical to Christ, but a *messenger of Christ*, who was sent into our world to teach us about things and connections that Yahweh did not want us to know. He told truths that arouse the wrath of Yahweh and he let us know that Yahweh is not the true God. That is why he was eliminated, hoping that his teachings would then become forgotten. They did not, but instead the original Christian movement that we call Gnostic grew stronger. Therefore, Yahweh influenced Paul to establish a modified “Christianity lite” that did not contain “forbidden truths” taught by Jesus. From this, a Church formed that put up a fake Jesus and a fake Christ.

The Church is not Christian but Paulinian ... and actually a prolonged arm of the Anunnaki.

In John 8:31-47 Jesus speaks to the people in the temple in words that make it clear that when he speaks of “Father” he does not mean Yahweh. He means someone higher than Yahweh. In the pre-Israeli Canaanite culture, Yahweh was known as one of the 70 sons of the Highest God *El Elyon* and in my opinion, he will be Jesus’ “Father”.

Jesus was sent to us by the true Christ to teach us truths that the Anunnaki wanted to withhold from us. Hence, one way to salvation from their influence is the original true truth that is conveyed to us by the rediscovered Gnostic Christianity – and also a salvation from the falsified “Christianity” of the Church with which the Anunnaki wants to lead us astray. The Gnostic Christians also strove to find the way above the Archons to go towards the light after death. This way may not be the only one, but it is again there for us today. Whatever the way, it will not work without the basic and really Christian principle that Gandhi conveyed: “non cooperation, civil disobedience”. This means to consequently refuse participation in evil and injustice. This is a difficult and dangerous way in our society that can cost us prosperity, freedom and even our life. But only the physical life of the body because our soul is immortal. To the soul, death is also just a tale. Who succeeds in reasonably staying on that path can be saved as a soul. He may then return, but in that case under quite different circumstances than those have who cooperate. The Gnostic Christians knew about reincarnation. Yahweh did not want people to know about it because they will in most cases then return to his world instead of finding the way out of it and go to other worlds.

The land Canaan was an essential part of the „Promised Land“ and was populated by people, who from Mesopotamian sources knew important truths. That has been clarified by research into the history of religions and excavation findings that uncovered many inscriptions. Even though Enlil/Yahweh was connected with these sources, he wanted this truth to remain secret so that he could pose himself as a “primordial god”. That may be a reason why the Hebrews should in his service eliminate the people in the land to they had been led.

In fact, the Hebrews had long before that been led to the land Canaan from the town Ur in Chaldea (Gen 11:28-31, cf. Gen 15:7), where they obviously will have preserved Mesopotamian knowledge. They were later led to Egypt because of a famine (Gen 12:10). There they were treated as second-class people and Yahweh used their misery to much later lead them back to Canaan to “save them”, even though they there killed descendents of their own ancestors that were their relatives. Did he stage the whole thing so that he in this manner could eradicate ancient knowledge as a “mass-brainwashing”? A kind of ethnic cleansing?

That was all rather long before the Babylonian exile and has nothing to do with it. But from where did the Hebrews come to Ur? A town in the region of Shinar in Mesopotamia. Some later Bible translations have it that they came to that town when “journeying to the East”, hence from the West. Older translations and the Hebrew text tell us that they came *from the East* (Gen 11:2). This looks as if one wants to give the impression that they had come to there from a prehistoric Israel, but that cannot be the case if they actually came from the East. John Sassoon discusses this question in a detailed manner his book *From Sumer to Jerusalem – The Forbidden Hypothesis*⁸. It rather looks like they really came from a region East of Mesopotamia. We can only guess about from where. That supports his “forbidden hypothesis” that the Hebrew people had a cultural and ethnic origin in Sumer, where Abraham lived. Bible scholars see him as the origin of the Biblical culture and seem not to ask what was before that. As if there were no before... But the “before” could under these circumstances have an Anunnakian touch. Unless they came from Persia.

The tactics with the Church did not work all that well for Yahweh as he had wanted. This institution still referred to his archenemy Jesus, even though with distortions and falsifications, and later fell apart in a few different Churches. It later split up even more in various new groupings and the desired tool of power was blunted. He therefore took a new attempt and established a new religion under a new mask with which he called himself Allah. For this purpose, he sent one of his archons who called himself Gabriel⁹ to turn Mohammed into a prophet. As the Islamic tradition tells, Gabriel nearly *tortured* Mohammed until he finally wrote the Qur’an. Mohammed was an analphabet, but Gabriel forced him to bring on parchment what Gabriel dictated, similar to channeled writing. Now Yahweh/Allah had a religion that was not based on Jesus and had a much more strict and brutal power over people. He then also could play the two religions out against each other in a Machiavellian way – “Churchianity” and Islam – in the sense of “divide and conquer”.

The French author Anton Parks mentions in his book *Eden – la vérité sur nos origines* that the Sumerian word *shatam* would mean “administrator of a region”. Enlil is the administrator for Anunnakian interests on the Earth and Parks from this makes a connection to Satan. In Hebrew, *satan* means “opposer”, “adversary” or “accuser”.

As the Bible and other texts tell, Satan was a conceited and boastful angel who saw himself as being more than God and therefore had to leave the divine light world and go to the dark region. According to Gnostic texts, Yaldabaoth/Yahweh escaped from the light world of the original creator out into the darkness. Enlil separated himself with the other Anunnaki from the world of light.

This has parallels to allegations in certain texts that Yahweh and Satan actually would be one and the same. Thus, one may understand Satan as a hidden face of Yahweh since the “arrogant angel” could well be Yaldabaoth of the Gnostic Christians. He wanted to put himself higher than the highest God El Elyon.

This raises the question: Is Satan identical to the runaway Yaldabaoth/Yahweh, as some Gnostic texts indicate – or is he one of the Archons? As an Archon, he would in any case be closely connected to Yahweh. The following intuitive thought came to my mind: An intriguing game is being played. Satan appears as an adversary to Yahweh for the Machiavellian purpose of *divide et impera*

⁸ I searched in vain for this book in various university libraries and then had to buy it. The „forbidden hypothesis“ seems to not be accepted there...

⁹ It is disputed whether this entity is identical to the archangel Gabriel, or not.

– divide and rule. The path of Yahweh and the one of Satan would both lead to the same end... in that case clever tactics with a “freedom of choice” that is none at the end. Is that the answer? Then the true way is none of the two but the escape above their heads...

An alarming condition in the world today is the rise of Satanism as a “modern religion”. It appears that all in the top elites that run and control the world are members of various orders of a Masonic kind that are basically Satanist: Skull and Bones, Bilderbergers, Illuminati, Rotary, Zionism...

There is allegedly a conspiracy for slowly taking the power in today’s world to which names like Albert Pike, Giuseppe Mazzini, Leo Taxil and William Guy Carr should be mentioned. It is self-evident that the existence of this conspiracy is denied by all means and certain texts by the persons mentioned, referred to in this context, are as expected declared to be fake or invented. This story begins with writings of Albert Pike, who at the end of the 19th century, among them his letter to Mazzini that contains a demonic plan with three world wars. To this belongs an islamization of the world before the third world war. Christianity is to be replaced by Islam. When this is achieved to a sufficient extent, Islam is to be replaced by Satanism, or we will be taught that the two are one: Islam for the control of the people and Satanism for the power of the elite.

“The Third World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences caused by the ‘agentur’ of the ‘Illuminati’ between the political Zionists and the leaders of Islamic World. The war must be conducted in such a way that Islam (the Moslem Arabic World) and political Zionism (the State of Israel) mutually destroy each other. Meanwhile the other nations, once more divided on this issue will be constrained to fight to the point of complete physical, moral, spiritual and economical exhaustion... We shall unleash the Nihilists and the atheists, and we shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm, which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass or direction, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of *the pure doctrine of Lucifer*, brought finally out in the public view.” (Albert Pike August 15, 1871 – the disputed letter to Mazzini.)

Could Pike at that time know about a third world war? He has, after all, made predictions about the first and second world war that became fulfilled in remarkable parts. He is believed to have been in contact with an entity that inspired him as a “spiritual guide”. An Annunaku? Is this a matter of a long-ranging Anunnakian plan? Is it a part of the plan to drive Muslims to migration for destabilization of Europe?

Who, then, is Satan and who is Lucifer? I suspect a confusion of concepts on purpose so that people will not easily understand it. Most persons will probably regard them as one and the same. But if Enlil/Yahweh/Allah should be the real Satan, is there also a Lucifer? Maybe. In that case this is another issue.

An imaginable hypothesis would be, as mentioned, an intentional confusion of concepts so as to make no difference between them. Another would be that Lucifer is Enki.

References

- Jan Erik Sigdell: *Die Herrschaft der Anunnaki* (The Rule of the Anunnaki), Amra Verlag, Hahnau (Germany), 2015.
- Zecharia Sitchin: *The 12th Planet*, Avon Books, New York, 1976.
- *Enuma Elish* in:
 - Alexander Heidel: *The Babylonian Genesis - The Story of the Creation*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago ILL, Second Edition 1967. At present available as a PDF document: https://oi.uchicago.edu/sites/oi.uchicago.edu/files/uploads/shared/docs/misc_genesis.pdf.
 - Wilfred George Lambert: *Babylonian Creation Myths*, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake IN, 2013.
 - [http://files.vociety.net/data/library/Section%205%20\(C,%20I,%20O,%20U\)/Unknown/Unknwn%20Album/Enuma%20Elish.pdf](http://files.vociety.net/data/library/Section%205%20(C,%20I,%20O,%20U)/Unknown/Unknwn%20Album/Enuma%20Elish.pdf).

- <http://www.sacred-texts.com/ane/enuma.htm>.
- Wilfred George Lambert, Alan Ralph Millard: *Atra-Hasis - The Babylonian Story of the Flood*, At the Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1969.
- Anton Parks: *Eden, la vérité sur nos origines*, Éditions Nouvelle Terre, L'Operec, 2011. English translation: *Eden -The truth about our origins*, Pahana Books, Pantin (France) 2013.
- *The Gospel of Truth*:
<http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/got.html>, <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/gostruth.html> and <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/got-barnstone.html>.
- John Sassoon: *From Sumer to Jerusalem - The Forbidden Hypothesis*, Intellect, Oxford, 1993.
- Nathaniel J. Merritt: *Jehovah Unmasked!*, out of print but available as used at prices of \$60 and much more (two years before writing this at prices of several hundred dollars!). At present available as a PDF document: <http://thegodabovegod.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Jehovah-Unmasked.pdf>.
- Albert Pike: Letter to Mazzini: <http://www.conspiracyarchive.com/2015/01/10/albert-pike-to-mazzini-august-15-1871-three-world-wars>.
- Albert Pike, William Guy Carr and 3 World Wars: Who Is Trying to Scare Us?: <http://new.euro-med.dk/20150520-albert-pike-william-guy-carr-and-3-world-wars-who-is-trying-to-scare-us.php> and Albert Pike's Plan for WW3 Being Implemented by Plans That led to WW1 – and to the Iraq War: <http://new.euro-med.dk/20180404-albert-pikes-plan-for-ww3-being-implemented-by-plans-that-led-to-ww1-and-to-the-iraq-war.php>
- YHWH (Yahweh) and Satan (the Adversary)
<http://assemblyoftrueisrael.com/Documents/YahwehandSatan.html>.

See also:

[The Reincarnation Trap](#)

[A regression experience from ancient Ur](#)

[Continued regression experience from ancient Ur](#)

ADDITION July 7, 2017

Information from various sources as well as intuitive insights indicate that Enki would really be the one we call Lucifer. There is a statement that the flood came over us because Enki had “created” negative beings that should be exterminated. The impression according to Mesopotamian sources is quite different (see above). Enlil wanted to eradicate humanity because it developed too fast. It should be thrown back to an earlier stage and not be allowed to “eat from the tree of knowledge”. Enki appears to be comparatively benevolent towards humanity while Enlil despised it. Yet it seems that Enki had his own interests with his actions and not a really selfless attitude. There is some evidence that he wanted to appear as if he were Christ and thus take advantage of the falsification of Christianity. One might therefore regard him as the fake “Christ” of the “Churchianity”.

This fits well with the *exsultet* prayer in the Catholic Church at Easter!

In Latin:

Flammas eius *Lucifer* matutinus inveniatur:
ille, inquam, *Lucifer*, qui nescit occasum.
Christus Fílius tuus,
qui, regressus ab ínferis, humano generi serenus illuxit,
et tecum vivit et regnat in sæcula sæculorum.

The translation usually replaces “Lucifer” through “morning star”:

May this flame be found still burning
by the Morning Star, the one Morning Star who never sets,
Christ your Son,
who, coming back from death's domain has shed his peaceful light on humanity
and lives and reigns for ever and ever.

Yahweh has hijacked reincarnation, cf. [The Reincarnation Trap](#). It looks like Enki participates in playing this game with humanity.

Cf. [Robert Stanley - The Man Who Met Enki & Lives to Tell About it](#). His experience is very interesting. He says that he met Enki in a christlike appearance and later realized that the encounter was not very positive. A few things in his story do not fit very well with Mesopotamian texts, especially not his comparatively positive judgment of Enlil.