

# Enlil, Yahweh, Allah, Satan and the Anunnaki

by Jan Erik Sigdell, Slovenia, July 30, 2016

## Who are the Anunnaki and what do we know about them?

They are also called Anunna. There is much said and written about them these days. The public attention about this subject essentially began when Zecharia Sitchin wrote a series of books about them. The first book was entitled *The 12<sup>th</sup> Planet*. The title relates to the hypothesis that there would be another planet beyond the nine that are known to today's astronomy. In a later book, Sitchin called it Nibiru. In ancient astronomy, also the sun and the moon were regarded as planets. If they are included, the hypothetical planet becomes the 12th in the row. If they are not included, that planet is the tenth and is called Planet X.

From where did Sitchin have this? He refers to clay plate tablets with cuneiform writing that during the latter centuries were found in Mesopotamia. It was only in the middle of the 19<sup>th</sup> century that one could slowly begin to decipher the characters on the plates as well as their more or less different languages. A whole library appeared, with extremely interesting texts, which with continued translations grew in numbers. These documents tell about a people, which after its ruler Anu is called the Anunnaki. The most interesting text in our context will be *Enuma Elish*, so called after the first two words in it, which mean "when above". Where above? In the "heavenly heights" beyond our Earth.

Of course, many other cuneiform writings are important in this context, among which I may especially mention *Atra-Hasis*.

*Enuma Elish* is an account about creation and about how the universe came to be with all its existential levels, worlds, heavenly bodies and created entities. It tells about a primary creator couple Apsu and Tiamat, which one may possibly also understand as two aspects of an androgynous entity. The female and "birth-giving" aspect is Tiamat. Out of these two, the creation came to be. A third entity is also mentioned, Apsus "minister" Mummu, but very little is told about him so that it is difficult to judge his role, which remains quite unclear.<sup>1</sup>

Out of this trinity, a series of created entities came to be, called "gods". They mostly appear as pairs in the text and multiply in growing numbers, and they established a kind of hierarchy. After the appearance of the first of them, these "gods" soon became "too loud" for Apsu and disturbed the order in the creation. Apsu found no peace, anymore, and therefore considered reversing their creation, that is, take them back in the primordial energy. That would not mean to kill them, but to reset their existence to the origin. Mummu advised him to do that, but Tiamat opposed it and rather wanted to tolerate them benevolently, since, after all, she was their great grandmother. The Anunnaki found out about these plans, killed Apsu and fettered Mummu. Later they let one of them, a "god" named Marduk, kill Tiamat in a terrific fight. But can the primary creators be killed? Of course not, since that would be the annihilation of the creation and then also of the Anunnaki. It can only be understood in the way that they turned their backs to them to live the way they wanted, as if the original creators would no more exist, letting their people believe that they were dead. In that manner, they took possession of a region of the Apsu's creation for themselves. They called this region Apsu, since one should no more know who the real Apsu is. They there established their own world, as if there were no other worlds. In this world, energies condensed to matter and a big planet was formed to be their home. This planet is the one that Sitchin first called the twelfth and later Nibiru. It is not clear, however, if Nibiru is that hypothetical planet, because there are certain problems of identification here. There is some indication that the home planet of the Anunnaki may possibly have come into our solar system from outside, from somewhere else in the universe.

As concerns Nibiru, a twelfth planet far outside does not fit very well with the text in *Enuma Elish*. In my opinion, it is another heavenly body and we are in that case dealing with two different ones.

---

<sup>1</sup> Lambert has a section about Mummu in his *Babylonian Creation Myths*, pp. 218-221, that is more confusing than clarifying. The word can be related to "wisdom" and "skill". As a name, it refers to the firstborn of Apsu and Tiamat.

This subjective description of the creation can only mean that there are also other regions in it than merely the enclave of the Anunnaki, but that should not be known in their world. The clay tablets tell a biased story only about them and about what they did with the humanity on this Earth and how it then developed. Therefore, other “gods” and civilizations in other regions are not mentioned, even though they will certainly be there in the universe. Otherwise, there would hardly be any “good” in it, only “negative”...

Sitchin does not discuss the murder of the prime creators in his books, through which the Anunnaki exposed themselves as morally primitive and rather “divine” barbarians. They appear to us as “fallen angels”, who even reverse the concepts of “good” and “evil” and in their view declare the primary creators as “evil”, because they wanted to reset an ill-begotten part of the creation. Who to the unprejudiced reader appears to be “evil” is, of course, the Anunnaki. Sitchin's books are quite biased and his interpretations are disputable in many of its parts.

With this attitude, the Anunnaki with time occupied a planet belonging to a dimensional region adjacent to their enclave, because they wanted to take minerals from it. This planet is our Earth. The presence of the Anunnaki on our planet guided the development of its humanity in a fatal direction that is continuing even today.

There will have been humans and civilizations on our Earth already before. Their intervention did, however, give rise to a new humanity that increasingly became dominant. They should originally be slaves to the Anunnaki and replace their own workers, who had to work hard in excavations but then revolted. Because of the rebellion in their own people, the Anunnaki decided to genetically manipulate life forms on the Earth, giving them of their own genes, to make a race of slaves. After a few failed experiments, they finally had the first of such a race, who after multiplication were put at work.

On the Earth, the Anunnaku<sup>2</sup> Enlil is the governor for the Anunnaki, being their administrator on our planet, in which function his brother Enki also plays a role. Enlil despised this new humanity on the occupied planet, but Enki had a more benevolent attitude to them. They continuously multiplied in natural ways until they became too many for Enlil. They disturbed him and became “too loud” (similar to Apsu above), so that he wanted to annihilate them through a deluge. He forbade his brother Enki to tell the humans about this plan, but he warned them through a trick. He talked about it to a wall of reed, but on purpose such that a man by name Atra-Hasis heard him. This Atra-Hasis is called Utnapishtim or Ziusudra in other clay-plate texts. Atra-Hasis should construct a ship and there take in animals and people to save them through the deluge. It does not seem to mean that herds of various animals should be on board the ship (which would not have been possible), but rather “slaughtered”, which makes us think of “parts” of animals, especially their DNA, from which they could later be recreated. Thus life forms of the Earth, including such that were genetically manipulated by the Anunnaki, were saved through the deluge to repopulate the planet later. Enlil became furious when he learned about this: “Has a single one of these mortals escaped? No one should survive this destruction!”

The Anunnaki will be multidimensional entities, maybe five-dimensional. They will feed on energies in realms above “our” three dimensions. The “nutritional flow” in the creation obviously goes out from the prime light of the creator, out of which plants by means of sunlight and substances in the soil form our food. However, the Anunnaki turned away from the prime creator and thus from the prime source of nutrition. Since they, therefore, no more have access to that source, they (among other things) feed on us. They will not have much use for vegetables and meat, but so much more of life energy that comes free when a body dies. For that reason they like to see humans die violently, because then the body is still full of life energy. Who gradually decays to death will not have much energy left... An image sometimes tells more than words. In the Gilgamesh epic we are told that

---

<sup>2</sup> Since “Anunnaki” refers to a “people of gods”, the word is inherently plural, and I use “Anunnaku” as a simplified singular.

when Utnapishtim performed a sacrifice at the end of the flood: “As the gods smelt the sweet smell they gathered like flies over the sacrifice.”

Here we immediately recognize the biblical story of the deluge, even though the two stories differ in certain details. There are quite a few more stories that are found in similar forms in the clay plates and in the Bible. Many ethnologists and linguists, who occupy themselves with the cuneiform texts, are therefore of the opinion that the origin of the biblical creation story is in the clay-plate texts, even though theologians and rabbis resist the idea...

The first sentence in the Bible already indicates a relationship with *Enuma Elish*. The Hebrew text in Gen 1:1 reads: *Bere'shit bara' 'elohim 'et hashamajim ve'et ha'aretz*, usually translated as “In the beginning God created heaven and Earth”. Very few translations more correctly have the plural “the heavens”.

No matter how we turn and twist it, *'elohim* is and remains the plural of *eloah* = “god”. One has tried, therefore, to interpret it as “... the gods created ...”, but that does not fit grammatically, since the verb *bara'* has the singular form. With a sigh of relief, the theologians then brush the riddle under the carpet and declare it to be *pluralis majestatis*. This grammatical form actually does occur in Hebrew, but there is another solution to the problem, which one probably on purpose avoids to deal with.

The conventional and “dogmatically approved” translation of *bere'shit* is based upon *be* = “in, at” and *re'shit* = “beginning”. However, according to dictionaries *re'shit* can alternatively mean “the first (of its kind)” and *be* can refer to the “origin”. Hence, *bere'shit* can be understood as a somewhat tautological formulation meaning “the original first one” or the “very first one”, that is the *primary creator*. That fits grammatically as follows: *The first one created the gods with the heavens and with the Earth*, or a little more freely: *The prime creator created the gods [together] with the heavenly worlds and with the Earth*. The little word *et* is not translated in conventional translations. It can be regarded as an accusative particle, but it can be also translated as “with”, which does not fit in the dogmatic translation. Here, however, it makes sense, which supports this interpretation!

The Hebrew word *shamajim* for “heaven” is also plural and can be understood as referring to “cosmic worlds”. Who are then the “created gods”? In our concept obviously the inhabitants of the cosmic worlds – planets or dimensional realms – and not only of the Earth, but *extraterrestrial life* in general: the Anunnaki *and other “gods” out there...* As mentioned above, the Anunnaki occupied a partial realm of the creation and then there will be other realms, too – in our theoretical consideration of the Mesopotamian texts as potentially reflecting actual occurrences.

The translations of *Enuma Elish* by various linguists differ by no small amounts and partially quite a lot. Where in the beginning Apsu is mentioned, he is usually described as “the first” or “primeval”, which directly corresponds to our interpretation of *bere'shit* and hence indicates a relationship.

These “gods” later withdrew but essentially maintained an invisible surveillance and control over the humanity that they had established on our Earth, They regard themselves as our creators and want to claim ownership over us, however unfounded. First, a true creation is a process to make something appear out of the primordial energy, something that was not there before. That the Anunnaki could not do. To manipulate or change something that already exists is not a true creation. Second, they only “manufactured” the very first ancestors of a genetically manipulated human race, but not the humans who arose out of them through a natural process of multiplication. Therefore, *we* in no way belong to them, and whatever they want to claim, they are wrong concerning ownership.

However, since they want to claim unjustified ownership and furthermore have other interests in our planet, they still today maintain their control over the terrestrial humanity and manipulate us in politics, globalized business and in other ways. For that purpose, they use elites that have power over us, even though these may not be much aware of who is pulling their strings behind the scene. Most of these elites are members of secret societies of a Masonic type, steps of a pyramid of power that at the most secret top is controlled by the Anunnaki. Many elites are also controlled directly by

them, invisibly and mostly unknowingly. Yet some members of the elite will probably know what is going on, but they have sold their souls for power and success.

How can they maintain an invisible control and thereby manipulate us? In today's physics, there is no doubt that the universe is multidimensional. We humans are probably by intention genetically manipulated to perceive only three dimensions. If, however, the universe has many more dimensions – maybe five, maybe nine, or even twelve – it is completely illogical to maintain the idea that other dimensions would have no forms of life. Other dimensions beyond doubt will have many and various forms of life that we can hardly imagine and with it worlds that are in themselves as material as our world is. If, for example, the Anunnaki would be five-dimensional beings and live on a planet that is located in other but for us unperceivable dimensions, then they have no problem to make themselves invisible to us. They would perceive us but we not them, unless they shift into our dimensional realm.

This will be so still today. We are being controlled and manipulated. An effective and very useful tool for that purpose is *religion*! In the beginning, it will most probably have been established and maintained by intermediates that had direct contact with the Anunnaki and acted on their orders. Today such intermediates are hardly there, any more, but the institutions that have come out of this are still there to control us with laws, rules of behavior and myths about “gods” and their “creation”, and keep us in the dark about essential truths.

That will not mean that there is no prime creator! The way out of this control matrix is not atheism, but to look behind the tales told to us and gradually begin to see the truth – a truth that one does not want us to know, for which one tries to make this as difficult as possible for us. We may – in our present state of development – never be able to see the full truth, but rather come closer to it step by step.

This does not mean that all religions are such insidious control tools. There are more religions on our planet and there earlier were still more of them. Other “gods” will probably have visited us and some will have been rather benevolent, intending to promote our development. They will also have left religions behind that in many aspects may be (or have been) closer to the truth.

It appears well possible that Hinduism originated from more benevolent extraterrestrial visitors. Texts like the Mahabharata witness fights in the air between flying *vimanas*, several texts report the use of terrible weapons that remind of nuclear ones. Did they fight against Anunnaki? On the other hand, the “god” Quetzalcoatl required horrible blood sacrifices from the Aztecs. The Mayas first were a peaceful people that later were conquered by the Aztecs and thus “aztecified”, and then also performed blood sacrifices. They called that god Kukulcan.<sup>3</sup>

The Anunnaku Enlil, alias Yahweh made his own little “creation” in a closed area called Eden, a court garden only for himself. There were two “trees” in it: the tree of life and the tree of knowledge. The humans he had placed in this garden should not “eat” from the latter. Why that? We have always been told that “eating” from that tree would be sexuality. That relates to a maybe intentional confusion with the Hebrew word *jad'a* that has a double sense: “know” and “sexual union”. The last sense did fit well in a patriarch society for blaming the population of sinfulness to have a better control over them. Cf. Gen4:1: “And Adam knew (*jad'a*) Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain”. However, the tree of knowledge has nothing to do with *jad'a*, because it is in Hebrew called *'etz ha-da'at*. The word *jad'a* does not occur in Gen 1:2. *Da'at* means “wisdom”, “truth”. Hence, one should not seek wisdom and not know the truth, but obey without asking questions.

The interpretation of the Bible text in the sense of sexuality as “original sin” is furthermore contradicted by the following facts:

- Adam was forbidden to eat from this tree *before* Eve was created<sup>4</sup> and sexuality could not be an issue.
- They ate from the tree *one after the other*. Sexuality is normally done together and not separately.
- Eve did not get pregnant then, but much later (Gen 4:1, see above).

<sup>3</sup> This differs from official history but was reported in regression experiences in the ancient Maya culture.

<sup>4</sup> As mentioned above, not really “created” but “made” out of genetic material taken from Adam.

With this, we now arrive at the main point I want to make: the evidence that the Annunaku Enlil is the one later called Yahweh and still later Allah.

Who is this Yahweh? He is the god of the Hebrew Bible and in the Old Testament of the Christian Bible. In Hebrew texts, the name is written with only consonants as YHWH, since one in Judaism should not pronounce his name. Talking about him, one should rather use the word *Adonai*. This word is an ancient plural (again!) of *adon* = “lord”. There are different theories about what vowels should be attached to these letters. The name is also called tetragrammaton: “the four letters”. The pronunciation that is generally accepted today is “Yahweh” but a common alternative is “Yehowah”, imposing (but not exactly) the vowels of Adonai. The name means something like “he, who is” (cf. “I am that I am” in Ex 3:14<sup>5</sup>). One has also tried with other vowels, since none are defined, and thus found other meanings (one such vocalization results in the meaning “he brings calamity”). Who is this god?

Yahweh had Moses lead the Hebrews out of Egypt to the “promised land”. When they after a long walk through Sinai finally arrived there, they to their disappointment saw that it was not free. People lived there in townships. *Thus Yahweh ordered the Hebrews to kill them all without exception and not spare a child, a woman nor an old man, so that they would live in “great and goodly cities, which thou buildest not, and houses full of all good things, which thou filledst not, and wells digged, which thou diggedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not; when thou shalt have eaten and be full”* (Deut 6:10-11). Then a veritable *holocaust* took place in which they attacked one town after the other and “left none remaining”, as vividly described on the *bloody pages* in Deuteronomy, Joshua and Judges. After this total *genocide*, they had finally stolen the land from its original inhabitants to have it for themselves. Is this a loving and peaceful god, like the one who Jesus called “father”? This bloodthirsty, revenging, hating, murdering, excessively punishing, lying and threatening “god”? That cannot be!

Yahweh showed his bestiality already as they walked through Sinai. One example: In Num 16:35-49 he killed 250 men as a punishment for offering incense. The people protested and as a punishment for daring to do that, he let 14700 persons die from a plague.

In Num 31:14-18 we read: “And Moses was wroth with the officers of the host, with the captains ... which came from the battle, and Moses said unto them, have ye saved all the women alive? ... Now therefore kill every male among the little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him. But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves.” In Num 31:35 we furthermore read that they brought 32000 virgins from a raid, but all the other were killed. Why should these young women be left alive? Beyond doubt for sexual services...

A few more examples: “And we took all his cities at that time, and utterly destroyed [killed] the men, and the women, and the little ones, of every city, we left none to remain [survive]. Only the cattle we took for a prey unto ourselves, and the spoil of the cities which we took.” (Additions in square brackets from the Hebrew text, since translations are a bit “smoothed”.) Hosea 13:16: “Samarina shall become desolate; for she hath rebelled against her God: they shall fall by the sword: their infants shall be dashed in pieces, and their women with child shall be ripped up.”

In the Psalms we read: “I have wounded them that they were not able to rise: they are fallen under my feet.” (18:38) “Thou shalt make them as a fiery oven in the time of thine anger: the LORD shall swallow them up in his wrath, and the fire shall devour them. Their fruit [children] shalt thou destroy from the earth, and their seed from among the children of men. For they intended evil against thee: they imagined a mischievous device, which they are not able to perform. Therefore shalt thou make them turn their back [flee], when thou shalt make ready thine arrows upon thy strings against the face of them. (21,9-12) “Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones.” (137:9).

---

<sup>5</sup> Almost with an undertone of “My name is none of your business.”

There are such a high number of examples like these that one could fill a whole book with them. A book well worth reading is *Jehovah Unmasked!* by Nathaniel Merritt.

Merritt writes: “Human beings are victims of a race of elohim/archons, or ‘gods’ that fashioned this material universe, and humankind, out of dead defiled pre-existent matter. ‘In the beginning the gods created the heavens and the earth’ (*Genesis 1:1*), and humans remain their possession to this day. To maintain control over humans, and to make sure Earth continues as our dungeon, the elohim/archons have made this Earth a continual source of endless squabbles amongst humankind. They have created and fostered religious and spiritual delusions to keep us blinded to reality and fighting and warring with each other, and they have made Earth into a place of relentless pointless physical and mental suffering and struggle. This sorry state of affairs has existed from the beginning, lost in the mists of prehistory.”

Is it not obvious that Yahweh, who ruled over the people in that land and today wants to rule the whole Earth – also through churches that have adopted him as their “god” – in such a way *disqualifies* himself? When he says: “Thou shalt have no other gods before me.” (Ex 20:3), does that mean that there are no other gods, or is it a *non-compete clause*: There are other gods but I shall be your only god and thou shalt have nothing to do with them.

Who, then, is Christ? Here *Enuma Elish* and other clay-plate texts offer no real help for an answer. Instead, I turn to the Gnostic Christianity. This was the very first Christian movement that was there before Paul (and was fought against by him while he was still Saul). There were two circles around Jesus: the inner circle of his disciples and others close to him and the outer circle of persons who heard him speak in public. It will be obvious that he spoke more openly and more in detail about things in the inner circle, than in the outer one and that he told things in the inner circle that it did not tell in the outer (cf. John 16:12). Out of the inner circle arose the Gnostic Christianity. The Gnostic Christians had many scriptures, which were gradually lost after the council in Nicaea in 325, until an entire Gnostic library was found in Nag Hammadi in Egypt in 1945. From there we know that to them Yahweh, who they called Yaldabaoth, was not the highest god, but was regarded as an *error* in the creation. Mighty entities, subordinate to him, were called Archons, but they may well be Anunnaki.

He is called just that, *error*, in the Gnostic text *The Gospel of Truth*: “That is the gospel of him whom they seek, which he has revealed to the perfect through the mercies of the Father as the hidden mystery, Jesus the Christ. Through him, he enlightened those who were in darkness because of forgetfulness. He enlightened them and gave them a path. And that path is the truth which he taught them. *For this reason error was angry with him, so it persecuted him. It was distressed by him, so it made him powerless. He was nailed to a cross ...*” (enhancements by me). Jesus knew and told too much about truths that Yahweh did not want us to know. For that reason, the Gnostic Christianity, which arose out of the inner circle, was also fought against and their texts were destroyed – until they were found again in Nag Hammadi. When Jesus talked about the “father”, he doubtlessly meant the highest creator and *not* Yahweh!

The highest God is called “The First Entity” in the Gnostic texts. He has a female entity Barbelo as companion and as a third one Autogenes, “the one that came out of himself”. Now, if we have some knowledge about the Gnostic texts, we may well compare the unnamed highest God with Apsu, Barbelo with Tiamat and then very hypothetically Christ/Autogenes with Mummu. The latter is speculative since, as mentioned above, we know too little about him.

In my opinion, Jesus is not identical to Christ, but a *messenger of Christ*, sent into our world to teach us about interrelationships of things and spiritual facts that Yahweh does not want us to know. He told truths which made Yahweh furious and he let us know that Yahweh is not the true god. That is why he was killed, hoping that his teachings would become forgotten. That did not happen, but the primary Christian movement that we call Gnostic instead grew stronger. Thus Yahweh had Paul establish a modified “Christianity light” that did not have “forbidden truths” taught by Jesus. Out of this, the Church was formed that presented us a fake Jesus and a fake Christ.

The Church is not Christian, but Paulinian ... and actually a prolonged arm of the Anunnaki.

In John 8:31-47 Jesus talks to the people in the temple in words that make it clear that when he mentions his “father” he does not mean Yahweh. He meant someone higher than Yahweh. In the pre-Israelite culture of Cana’an Yahweh was known as one of the 70 sons of the highest God *El Elyon*, and he will in my view be the one Jesus told about as “father”.

Jesus was sent to us by the true Christ to tell us truths that the Anunnaki wanted to withhold from us. For that reason, one way to salvation from their influence is the original true doctrine that the rediscovered Gnostic Christianity offers us. A salvation also from the false “Christianity” of the Church, with which the Anunnaki want to mislead us. The Gnostic Christians set as a goal to go towards the light after death, above and beyond the Archons. This way may not be the only one, but it is here again for us, today. It will not work without the basic principle that Gandhi taught us: “non-cooperation, civil disobedience.” That means to consequently refuse to take part in all the evil and injustice in this world. A dangerous way in our society that could cost us prosperity, freedom and even our life. But only the physical life, since our soul is immortal. Death is a fairytale for the soul. Who can succeed in keeping this path reasonably well may be salvaged as a soul. He or she may come back also in this case, but then under quite different circumstances than in the case of one, who cooperates in obedience to evil. The Gnostic Christians did know about reincarnation. Yahweh does not want, however, that humans should know about it, since they then are more likely to return in the cycle of rebirth instead of finding a way out of it.

The “promised land” contained as an essential part the land of Cana’an, populated by people who knew important truths, mainly from Mesopotamian sources. This has been shown by today’s research in the history of religion by means of excavation findings. Even though Enlil/Yahweh himself is connected with these sources, he wanted it to be kept secret to humans so that he could himself appear to them as a “primordial god”. That will be a reason why he ordered the Hebrews to eliminate the population there.

Yet the Hebrews had long before been led to the land of Cana’an from the town Ur in Chaldea (Gen 11:28-31, cf. Gen 15:7), where they obviously had preserved Mesopotamian knowledge. Later they were led further on to Egypt because of famine (Gen 12.10). There they became treated like second class people and Yahweh made use of their misery to much later lead them back to Cana’an to “save them”, even though they actually killed descendents of their own ancestors there. Did he stage the whole thing in this way for “brainwashing” the people and eradicate ancient knowledge? A kind of ethnical cleansing?

All this happened quite long before the Babylonian exile and has nothing to do with it. But from where did the Hebrews come to Ur? A town in the land Shinar in Mesopotamia. Many modern Bible translations claim that they came there as they “journeyed eastwards”, hence coming from the West. Older translations and Hebrew texts say that they “journeyed from the East” (Gen 11:2). This looks like one wants it to look like they came there from a prehistoric Israel, but if they actually *came* from the East, that cannot be. John Sassoon has investigated this question in detail in his book *From Sumer to Jerusalem – The Forbidden Hypothesis*. It really seems that they came from some region East of Mesopotamia. We can only guess from where. This supports his “forbidden hypothesis” that the Hebrew people has its cultural and ethnical origin in Sumer, where Abraham lived, and that fits the hypothesis. Bible scholars see the origin in him and are hardly concerned about what was before, as if there were no “before” ... But the “before” will under these circumstances have an anunnakian stamp!

The tactics with the Church did not work out as well as Yahweh had wanted. The Church officially still relates to his archenemy Jesus, even though with distortions and falsifications, and it split up into a few different churches. It later fell apart into even more groups and the desired power tool lost its edge. He then took a new attempt and established a new religion, wearing a new mask and calling himself Allah. For that purpose, he sent one of his Archons, who called himself Gabriel<sup>6</sup>, to

---

<sup>6</sup> It is disputed whether he is identical to the archangel Gabriel.

make Mohammed his prophet. As the Islamic tradition tells, Gabriel nearly *tortured* Mohammed until he finally was prepared to write the Qur'an. He actually was an analphabet but Gabriel forced him to bring to the parchment, like in channeling, what actually came from Gabriel. Now Yahweh/Allah had a religion that does not relate to Jesus and more strictly could have a brutal control over people. He, furthermore, now had two religions – the “Christianity” of the Church and Islam, which he could play out against each other in the Machiavellian sense of “divide and rule”.

Anton Parks writes in his book *Eden – la vérité sur nos origines* that the Sumerian word *shatam* would mean “administrator of a region”. Enlil is the administrator for Anunnakian interests on Earth and Parks relates this to Satan. In Hebrew, *satan* means “adversary”, “enemy”, “accuser”, “one who resists”.

As the Bible and other texts tell, Satan was a proud and conceited angel, who thought of himself to be more than God and therefore had to leave the divine world of light to go to darker regions. According to Gnostic texts, Yaldabaoth/Yahweh escaped from the world of light into darkness. Enlil together with the other Anunnaki separated himself from the light world.

This has parallels with statements in various texts that Yahweh and Satan would be one and the same, so that Satan could be understood as a hidden face of Yahweh, because the “proud angel” could well be Yaldabaoth in the Gnostic scriptures. He regarded himself as being more than the highest God El Elyon.

Here a question imposes itself: Is Satan identical to the escaped Yaldabaoth/Yahweh – as some Gnostic texts seem to indicate – or is he one of the Archons? As an Archon, he would in any case be closely connected with Yahweh. The following intuitive thought has come to my mind: an intriguing game is being played. Satan is presented as an adversary to Yahweh for the Machiavellian purpose of *divide et impera* – divide and rule. The way of Yahweh and the one of Satan would at the end come together to be the same ... In that case a clever tactic with a “freedom of choice” that at the end is not. Could that be the answer? Then the true way is none of them, but in a way of speaking beyond, above their heads ...

The rise of Satanism in our world today, as a “modern religion”, is a worrying phenomenon. Apparently all in the top elite, who control the world, are members of various orders of a Masonic nature, which are based upon Satanism: Skull and Bones, Bilderberger, Illuminati, Rotary, Zionism ... ..

There is allegedly a conspiracy for a gradual process of taking power of the entire modern world, to which names like Albert Pike, Giuseppe Mazzini, Leo Taxil and William Guy Carr may be mentioned. It is self-evident that it is by all means and ways denied that this conspiracy exists and certain texts of the persons mentioned, that are relevant in this context, are claimed to be fake or invented. It began with written statements by Albert Pike from the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, among these a letter to Mazzini, which contains a diabolic plan with three world wars. To this belongs the islamization of the world before the last world war. Christianity is to be replaced with Islam. When this has been reached to a sufficient extent, Islam is to be replaced with Satanism, or it will rather be declared that the two are one. Islam for the people and Satanism for the elite.

“The Third World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences caused by the “agentur” of the “Illuminati” between the political Zionists and the leaders of Islamic World. The war must be conducted in such a way that Islam ... and political Zionism ... mutually destroy each other. Meanwhile the other nations ... will be constrained to fight to the point of complete physical, moral, spiritual and economical exhaustion ... Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity ... anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view.” (Albert Pike, 8.15.1871, in his disputed letter to Mazzini.)



Could Pike at that time have known something about a third world war? He actually did predict the first and second world wars in terms that have become fulfilled in many ways. It is said that he had contact with an entity that inspired him. An Annunaku? Is this a matter of a long-term Anunnakian plan? Is it a part of this plan to drive Muslims from their countries to become refugees for the destabilization of Europe?

Who is Satan and who is Lucifer? In my view, there will be an intentional confusion of concepts here, because the people should not easily understand it. Most of them will probably hold them to be one and the same. But if Enlil/Yahweh/Allah should actually be the one called Satan, is there also a Lucifer? Maybe. But that is then another story.

A possible hypothesis would be the above-mentioned intentional confusion so that one would hardly make a difference between Lucifer and Satan. Another that Lucifer would be Enki.

## References

- Jan Erik Sigdell: *Die Herrschaft der Anunnaki*, Amra Verlag, Hanau, 2015.
- Zecharia Sitchin: *The 12<sup>th</sup> Planet*, Avon Books, New York, 1976.
- *Enuma Elish* in:
  - Alexander Heidel: *The Babylonian Genesis - The Story of the Creation*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago ILL, Second Edition 1967. Available as a PDF file: [https://oi.uchicago.edu/sites/oi.uchicago.edu/files/uploads/shared/docs/misc\\_genesis.pdf](https://oi.uchicago.edu/sites/oi.uchicago.edu/files/uploads/shared/docs/misc_genesis.pdf).
  - Wilfred George Lambert: *Babylonian Creation Myths*, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake IN, 2013.
  - [http://files.vociety.net/data/library/Section%20\(C,%20I,%20O,%20U\)/Unknown/Unknown%20Album/Enuma%20Elish.pdf](http://files.vociety.net/data/library/Section%20(C,%20I,%20O,%20U)/Unknown/Unknown%20Album/Enuma%20Elish.pdf).
  - <http://www.sacred-texts.com/ane/enuma.htm>.
- Wilfred George Lambert, Alan Ralph Millard: *Atra-Hasis - The Babylonian Story of the Flood*, At the Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1969.
- Anton Parks: *Eden, la vérité sur nos origines*, Éditions Nouvelle Terre, L'Operec, 2011. English translation: *Eden -The truth about our origins*, Pahana Books, Pantin (France) 2013.
- *The Gospel of Truth*: <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/got.html>, <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/gostruth.html> and <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/got-barnstone.html>.
- John Sassoon: *From Sumer to Jerusalem - The Forbidden Hypothesis*, intellect, Oxford, 1993.
- Nathaniel J. Merritt: *Jehovah Unmasked!*, no publisher and no year mentioned, today only found at antiquarian booksellers. Published by Moon Temple Press, Indio CA, 2005. Another edition was published 2006 by LuLu.com but is out of print. Available from Amazon at prices up to \$300.-. It was until recently also available as a PDF file that is now suspended.
- Albert Pike: Letter to Mazzini: <http://www.conspiracyarchive.com/2015/01/10/albert-pike-to-mazzini-august-15-1871-three-world-wars>.
- YHWH (Yahweh) and Satan, (the Adversary) <http://assemblyoftruisrael.com/Documents/YahwehandSatan.html>.

ADDITION July 7, 2017

Information from various sources as well as intuitive realization indicates that Enki really is the one we call Lucifer. It has been said that the deluge came over us because Enki had created negative beings that had to be eradicated. The Mesopotamian sources (see above), however, give us another view. Enlil wanted to eradicate humanity because it developed too fast. It should be thrown back on an earlier stage of development and not be allowed to “eat from the tree of knowledge”. Enki appears to have a more positive attitude to humanity, whereas Enlil despised it. Yet Enlil seems to have his own interest and not a selfless attitude. Facts rather indicate that he wanted to present himself as Christ and to his advantage participate in the falsification of Christianity. He, therefore, may be regarded as the false “Christ” of the Church.

This fits very well with the *exsultet* prayer of the catholic Church, recited at Easter!

In Latin:

Flammas eius *Lúcifer* matutínus invéniat:  
 ille, inquam, *Lúcifer*, qui nescit occásum.  
 Christus Fílius tuus,  
 qui, regréssus ab ínferis, humáno géneri serénus illúxit,  
 et tecum vivit et regnat in sæcula sæculórum.

In translation, “Morning Star” usually replaces Lucifer:  
 May this flame be found still burning  
 by the Morning Star:  
 the one Morning Star who never sets,  
 Christ your Son,  
 who, coming back from death's domain,  
 has shed his peaceful light on humanity,  
 and lives and reigns for ever and ever.

The dark entities have hijacked reincarnation, cf. [The Reincarnation trap](#). Enki seems to participate in this game with humanity.

Cf. [Robert Stanley - The Man Who Met Enki & Lives to Tell About it](#). His experience is very interesting. He seems to have met Enki in a Christ-like appearance but later have recognized that this appearance was not at all positive. Many things in his story, however, do not fit well with Mesopotamian texts, especially not his comparatively positive validation of Enlil.