

# **The Betrayal of Creation**

**From the Anunnakian deicides to the transhumanist end-time catastrophe**

An inspired metaphysical vision, based on *Enuma Elish*  
and its significance in the religions and for humanity today

by Jan Erik Sigdell

Source: [http://www.christliche-reinkarnation.com/index\\_de.html](http://www.christliche-reinkarnation.com/index_de.html)

I give this book in PDF format as a gift to the readers of my books and my website, with the request to download it and give it to as many potential interested parties as possible, on the sole condition that the content remains unchanged and nothing is added, as well as that the source and the author remain mentioned. (As concerns my books, most of them are in German and one is in my mother tongue Swedish. Nevertheless I have translated this PDF to English so that what I consider to be a bit of a message can be more widely spread.)

There are many religions and world-creation philosophies in our world. Which one is the right one? My attempt to give an answer is: All and none... There are many indications that most of them, somewhere in a fogged past very far back, actually have a common origin, but this has been blurred over the course of probably millions of years until a common ground remained more or less unrecognizable. How did this come about? One of the worst diseases of the various humanities that exists and has existed on our earth is greed – greed for property, greed for power and greed for profit. Again and again, groups emerged that want to dominate, control and enslave fellow human beings in their own sense. For this purpose, religions and creation philosophies were recognized as useful tools. If you reshape faith and often science in your own sense, you can lead the sheep where you want them to be. Truths become dictated “truths,” often with the help of fear-mongering and threats of various kinds. But also of temptations and seductions. Real and actual truths were gradually distorted and even replaced by artificial constructions and lies. It serves the purpose that this often deals with issues that today’s average person often finds difficult to grasp and exceeds his imagination. Today we are witnessing such measures and interventions on a scale that – if there is no other way for those seeking power – can lead to a 3rd World War with the extinction of a larger part of humanity. Possibly so soon that there may hardly be time to print a new book on this topic and offer it for sale. Therefore, it should now be done in this way with a free PDF file.

E. Jan Erik Sigdell, Dutovlje, Slovenia, June 21, 2022

**CONTENTS**

Foreword	3	Yahweh is addicted to blood	19
Introduction	3	Transhumanism	20
Where did the Sumerians come from?	4	Human cruelty	21
The emigration	4	The rupture	22
When up high	5	Who are the Anunnaki?	22
Were God s murdered?	7	Where does the evil come from?	23
The first sentence in the Bible	8	Star Wars	23
Sky gods	10	Parallel worlds	24
Is Yahweh Marduk?	10	Was Noah's Ark a UFO?	25
The Flood in cuneiform texts	10	A riddle of prophecies	25
The Flood in the Bible	11	Man and his soul	25
Origin of Christianity	11	Sexuality as a double-edged sword	26
Gnostic Christianity	12	Touch and physical contact in love	28
Yaldabaoth becomes Yahweh	13	Mesopotamian ideas about life after death	29
Yahweh's Consort Asherah	14	Some other creation stories	29
Is Yahweh El Elyon's Son?	15	Birth pangs and stillbirth of a world order	33
Angels and Satan	15	The New World Order sneaks in through the back door	34
A speculation about Satan	15	What was before?	34
Down the Stairs of Creation	16	SUPPLEMENTS	35
A personal hypothesis about monotheism	17		
Yahweh's monstrous cruelty	18		

## **The Betrayal of Creation**

From the Anunnakian deicides to the transhumanist catastrophe of the end times.

An inspired metaphysical vision, starting from *Enuma Elish* and its meaning in religions and for humanity today.

By Jan Erik Sigdell

### **Foreword**

We live in a world full of lies and deceit, manipulation and deception, lust for power and violence, in politics and in religion. Truth and honesty are really rare and frowned upon. One must submit to a system that promotes a minority and exploits the masses to do so. The oldest means of manipulation in this system is religion. There have always been religions, different and changing, in ancient times connected with advanced civilizations that have passed away. At present three religions are predominant, although in many variations, but they are also connected with manipulations in order to steer the masses to the advantage of a minority. (As fourth religion one may add Buddhism, but it is in many respects rather a secular philosophy of life). There, too, truths are suppressed and or twisted with lies. Religions become instruments of power.

It is revealed to us earth people insidiously and gradually that we are by no means alone in the cosmos. Other civilizations visit us or are secretly here for a long time. They have introduced religions to control us. But they also have their own religions, which they have partly transferred to us, rather in modified form. The fact that there are and were always and everywhere religions might testify to the fact that for us rather immaterial beings indeed do exist. Currently in our earthly world there are on the one hand a hardly comprehensible “highest God” and a benevolent mediator between him and us who appeared from him, whom we call Christ, and then an actually failed entity which is called Satan (other religions have other designations for what is essentially the same). The latter entity has separated from the aforementioned ones, having taken a liking in extreme selfishness, in that which we call evil. The resulting conflict is also carried out on our earth.

Today this manifests itself in a devolution by the so called transhumanism, which wants to make “living dead” robotized machines out of people capable of love and compassion. The New World Order included. How it could come to this is outlined in this book. Creation has become degenerated by bad powers, at least on our earth, as is shown towards the end of this consideration. The original creation was and still is sabotaged genuinely. The result might be everything else than is wanted by God, rather wanted by Satan...

### **Introduction**

I begin with a quote that points to a common but often overlooked basic problem with studies of this kind (translated): “One problem of biblical scholarship is that there are no original manuscripts of the biblical books. For example, the oldest extant or known manuscripts of the New Testament date from the 2nd century and thus were written at least around a hundred years after Jesus’ death. Even from that time, there are only a few surviving pages. The oldest preserved full Bibles were not written until the 4th century (*Codex Vaticanus*, *Codex Sinaiticus*). It is sometimes considered a problem that the authors of the Gospels are unknown and that something can only be inferred indirectly about them, their living space, their intention and their groups of addressees. The reliability of their accounts may therefore be doubted.”<sup>1</sup>

It hardly gets more concrete than this, and I have to go on a search of my own to see what can be made of a wide variety of information. The Bible starts with a story of creation and I will have to do that myself, too. Mankind has since ancient times had many cultures and religions with both similarities and differences. How can one find one’s way through this? The historical tracing of the Bible texts leads to the country of Sumer. This seems to be as far back as one can go for this study. So let’s start there. In the Mesopotamian area we find a creation story called *Enuma Elish*, which seems to be the earliest that can somehow be related to our Bible. The name is formed from the first two words in the cuneiform text, which mean “When up high.”

I write foreign words here mostly in italics. Bible quotations are reproduced according to the KJV Bible. Numbered references indicate endnotes at the end of the text, and \* indicates footnotes.

### **Where did the Sumerians come from?**

The KJV Bible says in Genesis 11:2, “And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there.” Various other translations have “toward the east” and thus coming from the west. John Sassoon<sup>2</sup> has compared a larger number of official Bible texts and found that they overwhelmingly have “from the East.” The Hebrew Bible has *miqedem* = from the east.

There is of course a certain “political interest” in making believe that they came from there, to where they in that case migrated back. But if they did come from the east, from where? From Persia? Zorastrianism had no small influence in ancient Mesopotamia!<sup>3</sup> But the idea of an influence in Judaism and Christianity<sup>4</sup> should perhaps rather not come too fast to our mind... and nevertheless there were already long preceding religious movements before Jesus’ mission 2000 years ago, which left influences to the posterity. Jesus was not there for the first time, as he himself said: “Verily, verily, I say unto you, Before Abraham was, *I am*” (John 8:58). And so, if he was there from the beginning, it may very well be that he also influenced earlier religions.

### **The emigration**

Here follows a much abbreviated account, just to give a little background to the issues at hand here. The way back in time leads to Abraham and his father Terah, who lived in the Sumerian city of Ur, so it will probably be expected that they initially had rather Babylonian beliefs. Terah made statues of gods, which he probably sold for prayers and ritual purposes, which Abraham considered idolatry. One day he had the opportunity to destroy these figurines. Abraham was then to be thrown into a fire as punishment but was miraculously saved. Under unclear circumstances, Terah then migrated with his family to move to Canaan, but they first stayed in Haran (today in southeastern Turkey). Much later – according to one source when Terah had died, according to another when he was still alive – Abraham moved on with his family to Canaan, prompted to do so by God. With him, Canaan was to become a “great nation, and his name would become great.” Most probably he and the family so far still brought some of Babylonian faith concepts and then probably also the knowledge of *Enuma Elish* with them.

In Canaan, he and other migrants were confronted with beliefs there that may have at least partially “amalgamated” with their own and those they brought with them. In the course of time, there was a famine in Canaan, which caused a part of the people to emigrate to Egypt. There, however, they had to live as second-class people and rather be servants of the native population. Half a millennium later, they were able to return to Canaan, which was praised as the “Promised Land”, under circumstances that are familiar to us from the Western European schooling as it has been common up to now (more about this below: “A personal hypothesis about monotheism”). When they finally arrived after a very long migration, they were disappointed at first. The land was not free! There were already people living there in cities! Then an order came from the highest authority of the immigrants: “Kill everyone! Do not spare a child, a woman or an old man!” However, it was allowed to take virgins as war booty... What for? As maids or even wives? Or for “sexual services?” And who then decreed this? Yahweh! And who is he then? I will come back to that...

Abraham went to Egypt with the first people who went on. On the way there, he told his wife Sarai (later called Sarah) to pretend to be his sister, because otherwise he feared for his life, as she might be robbed because of her beauty. She then ended up in Pharaoh’s harem, but after some time the deception caught Pharaoh’s eye, and he sent Abraham out of Egypt with his family and possessions.

They went to Negev in southern Canaan, where Abraham and his nephew Lot settled. They had both become rather wealthy in Egypt and their shepherds quarreled, so the brothers separated to keep peace. Lot moved to a region near the Jordan river, where he was later captured during a rebellion. Most of the others, however, who had fled to Egypt to escape famine, remained there until a long time after Abraham’s death, when they left for the “Promised Land.”

A heinous story in this context is that Yahweh required Abraham to sacrifice his longed-for son to Yahweh. Only when Abraham was 100 years old did Yahweh give him a son, apparently because Sarah had been barren for so long. In doing so, he wanted to test Abraham's faith and faithfulness. However, Yahweh stopped him at the very last moment.

Another abomination is the command to destroy everything that breathes in Canaan: "But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth:" (Deut. 20:16). Why did Yahweh want this? He was not the supreme God for the Canaanites (and no more than a son of the supreme God El Elyon, see below). Then a genocide began. Jericho, as the only walled city, was the last stronghold of Canaan. Therefore Jericho should be destroyed and a reconstruction forbidden. One had "abused" the prostitute Rahab for a trick to conquer the city (Joshua 2 and 6) and this can hardly be considered glorious. The daily marching around the city with horn blasts until the walls fell almost makes one think of a magical work.

The genocide in Canaan also meant that they killed descendants of their own remaining ancestors, actually their relatives! Was it all staged to eliminate ancient knowledge in this way by "mass brainwashing"? A kind of ethnic cleansing?

### When up high

There are a few slightly different originals of *Enuma Elish* still preserved (unfortunately, some important text sections have been lost due to breaks in the tablets), but also partly far more different contemporary interpretations of the translations. The original texts are in cuneiform writing on tablets, written in Babylonian and Assyrian, found during excavations at various places: Ashur, Sultantepe, Nimrud and Nineve (Ashurbanipal's library) and others. The text may have been written before the fall of Sumer in 1750 BC, although later tablets date up to about 1200 BC.

The authoritative translation for me is by Wilfred G. Lambert<sup>\*5</sup> from which I quote here:

- 1 When the heavens above did not exist,
- 2 And earth beneath had not come into being —
- 3 There was Apsu, the first in order, their begetter,
- 4 And demiurge Tiamat, who gave birth to them all;
- 5 They had mingled their waters together
- 6 Before meadow-land had coalesced and reed-bed was to be found —
- 7 When not one of the gods had been formed
- 8 Or had come into being, when no destinies had been decreed,
- 9 The gods were created within them:
- 10 Lahmu and Lahamu were formed and came into being.

For "the demiurge [though a masculine word...] Tiamat" the original text on the clay plate has *mu-um-mu ti-amat* and thus a bit of a riddle. Most other translations here have "Mummu-Tiamat" or even "begetter Tiamat," or sometimes try with "mother, chaos, creator, womb, son, and even bosom Tiamat('s)"...

Nancy Sandars translated<sup>6</sup> "maker Tiamat" and explained, "Some translators see the word 'maker' in line 4 not as an adjective describing Tiamat but as another god, named Mummu, who emerges at the same time. As you might expect, *mummu* means 'maker,' 'form,' 'mold,' or 'matrix.'" She also wants to explain the name in terms of the physical principle of entropy. Later in the text, most translations refer to Mummu as Apsus Vizier. Almost nothing else is learned about this Mummu in the cuneiform tablets, except that he was a god of knowledge, wisdom, and ability.<sup>7</sup>

Many translations want to understand Apsu (also Abzu) as "fresh water" and Tiamat (also Tam-Tum) as "salt water" and claim this with the fact that where in the ocean sea water and fresh water from rivers mix, particularly rich life forms originate, with what one may allegorically describe the creation

---

\* W.G. Lambert (1926 - 2011) was a Christadelphian and hence strict Bible believer. He was an well-versed assyriologist and knew Mesopotamian languages well. He will doubtlessly have translated the texts carefully even though in content they may at places been in conflict with his religious views.

of life. This strikes me as “far-fetched.” Our school science always has trouble with the fact that in some cultures entities and immaterial life are taken more seriously than we are used to in our culture. For me, they are entities and especially cosmic energies of higher dimensions, as well as further beings primarily produced by them. That one then officially evades in our usual but limited three-dimensional way of thinking to a rather naive picture, in order not to expose oneself in front of colleagues and others for example to “ridicule”, is understandable. One prefers to hit the same notch.

Today’s physics knows that the universe has more than “our” three dimensions – or maybe better three and a half, because the fourth dimension also (and not only) has to do with time. It will be more than time. But we know at the most half of this fourth dimension... Some seem to be of the opinion today that the universe has twelve dimensions. I agree with this because an old writing of Dionysius Areopagita called *The Hierarchy of Angels*<sup>8</sup> (ca. 600 A.D.) reports of three times three hierarchies ordered over each other – thus nine – and if we then add “our” three, we come to 12. It is almost self-evident that the nine dimensions which are superior to us cannot be “empty” at all, but have energies and are populated by entities which are invisible to us because our organs of perception consist of three-dimensional substances and therefore can perceive only three-dimensionally. The “gods” themselves, who create “sub-gods” and other beings, and partly also those first created by them, will undoubtedly be “at home” in such higher regions.

Tiamat is also connected with the primordial chaos. But what is chaos? The usual understanding of it is “confused disorder”, a total mess, but etymologically more correct is “abyss”, “deep and empty cleft” or “yawning void” from the Greek *chaino* = yawn. It will have to do with a rather formless primal energy, which has no form yet but in which something can materialize (also in higher dimensions). In the Bible this is described as *tohu wa-bohu*, “waste and void.”

Now also further gods were created, but their behavior was not good. They were noisy and disturbed the world of gods, and Apsu found no peace. Tiamat found their often unruly behavior unpleasant but kept silent about it at first. Then Apsu called Mummu to her:

31 “Vizier Mummu, who gratifies my pleasure,  
 32 Come, let us go to Tiamat!”  
 33 They went and sat, facing Tiamat,  
 34 As they conferred about the gods, their sons.  
 35 Apsu opened his mouth  
 36 And addressed Tiamat  
 37 “Their behavior has become displeasing to me  
 38 And I cannot rest in the day-time or sleep at night.  
 39 I will destroy and break up their way of life  
 40 That silence may reign and we may sleep.”  
 41 When Tiamat heard this  
 42 She raged and cried out to her spouse,  
 43 She cried in distress, fuming within herself,  
 44 She grieved over the (plotted) evil,  
 45 “How can we destroy what we have given birth to?  
 46 Though their behavior causes distress, let us tighten discipline graciously.”  
 47 Mummu spoke up with counsel for Apsu—  
 48 (As from) a rebellious vizier was the counsel of his Mummu—  
 49 “Destroy, my father, that lawless way of life,  
 50 That you may rest in the day-time and sleep by night!”  
 51 Apsu was pleased with him, his face beamed  
 52 Because he had plotted evil against the gods, his sons.  
 53 Mummu put his arms around Apsu’s neck,  
 54 He sat on his knees kissing him.

Here Mummu behaves like a son, and the first son of both. Some variants of the text interpretations also designate him in such a way. So far all three obviously belong together and so it was also at the

beginning, when the further and rather subordinated gods were not yet created. This is an original *trinity*! A topic, which we would like to deal with further. We have it in the biblical faith: God, Christ and the Holy Spirit and also in Gnostic Christianity: God, Christ and Barbelo. The Holy Spirit, like in Gnostic Christianity Barbelo, is at least originally considered feminine (cf. Jesus quote in the apocryphal Gospel of Hebrews: “My mother, the Holy Spirit”<sup>9</sup>).

### **Were Gods murdered?**

The gods were very upset when they learned of this plan, and Ea (also called Enki, one of the created gods) succeeded in putting Apsu to sleep with an incantation and then “killing” him – some interpretations, however, hold that he remained alive but was held captive.<sup>\*10</sup> Ea took his crown and splendid robe and “settled on Apsu”, which probably means that he took over his role. Mummu could not help Apsu, because he was tied up and held on a leash (“nose rope”)...

Can one really kill the creator god? And even more: Can an entity created by him do that? The “killing” of created beings might mean on this level to bring them back into the primal energy of the creation, rather than “destroy.” Such as: back to the beginning and then start again. “Killing” the creator here rather means to exclude him from the community. One wanted to be independent of him and have nothing more to do with him.

Then from Ea (Enki) and his wife Damkina the god Marduk was born. He had an overwhelming appearance with four eyes and four ears. Tiamat was very restless and was reproached:

113 “When Apsu, your spouse, was killed,  
 114 You did not go at his side, but sat quietly.  
 115 The four dreadful winds have been fashioned  
 116 To throw you into confusion, and we cannot sleep.  
 117 You gave no thought to Apsu, your spouse,  
 118 Nor to Mummu, who is a prisoner. Now you sit alone.  
 119 Henceforth you will be in frantic consternation!  
 120 And as for us, who cannot rest, you do not love us!  
 121 Consider our burden, our eyes are hollow.  
 122 Break the immovable yoke that we may sleep.  
 123 Make battle, avenge them!

Tiamat was now called upon to fight and pressed to revenge for the “death” of Apsu, for which she prepared herself with a number of weapons. However, the gods first created by Apsu and Tiamat were afraid of a fight with her and retreated until then Marduk declared himself ready to do it. This is followed in *Enuma Elish* by a long account of a battle that is almost reminiscent of a Star Wars story. At the end Marduk succeeded in “killing” Tiamat and he used her “body parts” for the creation of a new world – this could be interpreted in such a way that he used parts of her primal energy for it. For the description of this battle, the term “chaos fight” has come into use. After the robbery of Apsu’s power by the created gods, a world was now created from Tiamat’s energies, which is separated from higher dimensional areas. With it, the rule of the Anunnaki was established – the descendants of Apsu and Tiamat, who “murdered” their own parents – to which the first-begotten Lahmu and Lahamu belong and then from them again Anshar and Kishar, as well as Kingu a kind of “side leap.” The latter should be a leader and co-fighter in the battles of Tiamat. On the next level came the sky god Anu, hence the designation Anunnaki for gods created afterwards. An alternative interpretation of this designation as “those who came from heaven to earth” might be a bit outdated today. More correct would then be “those who came to earth from Anu in heaven.” That Anu himself remained in heaven and did not settle on earth is shown by the myth of Adapa<sup>11</sup>, whom because of an event Anu took to himself and then had him brought back to earth.

---

\* Quote: “In the myth recorded on cuneiform tablets, the deity Enki (later Ea) believed correctly that Apsu was planning to murder the younger deities, upset with the noisy tumult they created, and so captured him and held him prisoner beneath his temple.” Hence for Enki in an “underworld” to where Apsu’s spirit should be gone.

Zecharia Sitchin<sup>12</sup> saw in the “chaos fight” a cosmic event in which a planet (Marduk) invaded into our solar system and got on collision course with another planet (Tiamat). The latter planet was thereby divided into two, after which one part became our earth and the other shattered into billions of pieces, which then form the asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter. One can imagine – among other things – that “primeval beings” connected with the planets wanted to avert the collision and so to speak “preventively” blew up the one planet.

### The first sentence in the Bible

Now we approach a consideration of the creation history in the Bible. Already in the first sentence we meet an old riddle which is always swept under the carpet, namely in the Hebrew text the word *elohim*! The word is undeniably the plural form of *eloha* = god and therefore means “gods”! Now how can this be put right?

This sentence in the Bible already indicates a relation to *Enuma Elish*. The Hebrew text in Genesis 1:1 reads as follows: *Bereshit bara elohim et ha-shamajim ve et ha-aretz*, usually translated as: “In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth” (very few Bibles here have “the heavens”, thus more correctly the plural of the original text).

No matter how you turn it, *elohim* is and remains the plural form of *elohah* = “god.” Therefore one tried to interpret the sentence as “... the gods created...” But this does not fit grammatically, because the verb *bara* has the singular form. Relieved theologians then sweep the riddle under the carpet and declare *elohim* to be *pluralis majestatis*. This grammatical form does occur in Hebrew, but I will here show another solution to the problem, which theology probably intentionally avoids.

The conventional and “dogmatically accepted” translation of *bereshit* is based on *be* = “in, on, of” and *reshit* = “beginning.” However, according to dictionaries\*, *reshit* can alternatively mean “the first (of its kind),” and *be* can also refer to “origin.” Thus the word *bereshit* can be understood as a somewhat tautological formulation, which means “the original first” or “the first of all”, thus the original creator. Then it also fits grammatically, as follows: “The First One created the gods with the heavens and with the earth,” or somewhat more freely also: “The Original Creator created the gods [together] with the cosmic worlds and the earth.”

The translations of different linguists of *Enuma Elish* and other cuneiform texts differ clearly, and in places even very much. Where at the beginning Apsu is spoken of, he is occasionally also called the “primordial one” or the “first one”, which fits directly to this interpretation of *bereshit* and indicates a connection.

The small word *et* is conventionally not translated in the phrase from Genesis 1:1. On the one hand it is an accusative particle, but on the other hand it can also mean “with”, which does not fit so well into the dogmatic translation – but here it makes sense! Thus the above formulated interpretation is a bit corroborated.

The Hebrew word *shamajim* for “heaven” also stands in the plural (singular: *shamai*) and can be understood meaningfully as “cosmic worlds.” Who then are the “created gods”? In our context obviously inhabitants of the cosmic worlds – planets or dimensional realms – thus not only inhabitants of the earth, but also extraterrestrial life in general: The Anunnaki and other “gods” out there... As indicated above, in our hypothetical consideration of the Mesopotamian texts – which assumes that the texts are potentially based on actual facts (only allegorically represented) – the Anunnaki have annexed a sub-area of creation. If it is already about a partial area of the creation here, it is to be assumed that there will be also other areas.

On tablet VI it is told how Marduk after his victory over Tiamat had the mankind created. For this Kingu was sacrificed and his blood was mixed with clay and his bones were used. Further humans, then created according to a prototype, were said to be slaves for the gods. Another text, *Atrahasis*, gives a detailed account of this, as if by a genetic process. The Bible mentions only briefly in Gene-

---

\* For example Gesenius' *Hebrew and Chaldee Lexicon*.



sis 1:26-28: “And God said, [actually: The *elohim* said!] Let us [plural!] make man in our image, after our likeness... So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them. And God blessed them, and said unto them, be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth...”

According to this, man and woman are equal! The people should multiply and that is in fact a command to sexuality, but sexuality in love! Here it is first about the general creation of man. In addition, a second creation of man comes in Genesis 2, where God (only here called *YHWH elohim*!) makes a gardener in his private garden and gives him a woman. Now, however, the topic of sexuality appears in Genesis 3 in an erroneous interpretation, because an intruder provokes: “Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die. And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die: For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat. And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons. And they heard the voice of the LORD God walking in the garden in the cool of the day: and Adam and his wife hid themselves from the presence of the LORD God amongst the trees of the garden. And the LORD God called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where art thou? And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself. And he said, Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat? And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat. And the LORD God said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat.”

Then what is the forbidden tree of knowledge? It is called *ez ha-da'at* in Hebrew, which means tree of *wisdom*. Many associate this with sexuality and think that the forbidden fruit is extramarital or other sexual debauchery. This comes from a misunderstanding of Genesis 4:1: “And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the LORD” wherein the Hebrew word *yada*, meaning “to know,” in this context was mistakenly understood to mean “to have sexual intercourse.” In Gnostic Christianity, however, it is said that Eve was raped twice by Yahweh and that she had her firstborn child from him. The correct translation of Genesis 4:1 would then be “And Adam perceived that Eve his wife was already with child, and she bare Cain, and said: I have conceived a boy with the LORD.” Only the third child Seth would be from Adam. I am sure this is hard for some readers to digest, but in any case, *yada* does not really mean “to have coitus” and there is no *ez ha-”yada”* or equivalent word in the Bible (perhaps *ez ha-zihui* from “knowledge” or *ha-gilui* from “discovery”). This “intentional misunderstanding” is, after all, already evidenced by the fact that the fruit makes one wise and opens one’s eyes (and that it was only through this that they realized they were naked). Besides, it does not fit with the fact that first Eve and then Adam ate the fruit, one after the other. After all, you have sex together and not one after each other... It was also forbidden to Adam to eat that fruit before Eve was created and having sex anyway was not an issue. It furthermore contradicts Genesis 1:28: “... Be fruitful and multiply...”

So what was forbidden was not to perform love physically, but to know too much! One should do his work without asking questions. The one who knows too much is more likely to start thinking and may understand hidden intentions which it is better to not know. A slave commandment for the “garden gnomes”...

Another riddle is that the sons of Adam and Eve took wives after leaving Eden and later their sons too. Where from, if Adam was the first created man? The solution is simple: from the first creation

of man in Genesis 1:26-28. Adam was the first created only in Eden. However, there was also sibling marriage.

### **Sky gods**

Anu has two sons Enki (Ea) and Enlil. Since Anu remained essentially in the heavenly heights, Enlil became a god on earth and over its humanity, a god of wind and storm, and to some extent a god of the mountain, because his house and temple Ekur was high up on a mountain in the Sumerian city of Nippur. Quite a few narratives about Enlil in the Sumerian clay tablets have certain parallels to Genesis, so it does not seem outlandish to compare him to Yahweh.

Yahweh was also often portrayed as a god of wind and storm, but this view may now be somewhat debatable. This may be related to the fact that at times and in regions Baal and Yahweh were regarded as one and the same, but since there were different conceptions of Baal (especially also as one to whom children were sacrificed...), it may rather be that in some regions the word was simply used non-specifically in the sense of “god” and therefore a Baal as a storm god may have been confused with the wrong one, as it were. There may also have been a mountain god Baal.

But there are more concrete correspondences. The eventually (already at that time...) overpopulated mankind of our earth was felt by Enlil as loud and annoying – similar to Apsu! Therefore he wanted to exterminate them with a deluge.

### **Is Yahweh Marduk?**

While we are on the subject of comparisons: There are remarkable similarities in Yahweh and Marduk<sup>13</sup>. But similarities do not mean identification. Marduk was raised to be the God of Babylon, but also to the God of the earth. Marduk created humans but his way of creating humans is different from the creation of humans in Yahweh’s book called the Bible. Yahweh’s creation of Adam is a kind of “special creation” in Yahweh’s own garden. Besides similarities, there are differences between Yahweh and Marduk, among others seen in Isaiah 40-55<sup>14</sup>. One can take this like considerations from two different points of view and hypothesize that it is basically about the same thing.

### **The Flood in cuneiform texts**

In the Akkadian Flood myth about *Atrahasis* (Noah in the Bible), an evil deed of Enlil is reported. He did not like the created people, since they had become “too many and noisy” for him, and so he ordered to bring diseases among the people and drought to reduce their number. Since this was not enough, he planned a deluge to wipe them out. He forbade his brother Enki to tell this to the people and warn them. Enki transgressed the prohibition with a trick.

He spoke to a wall of reeds, but deliberately so that it would be overheard by Atrahasis: “Escape the house, build a ship, and leave property behind and save life.” He described what the ship should be like. Atrahasis then spoke to the council of elders, “My god does not agree with your god. Enki and Enlil are constantly at odds and have expelled me from the land. Since I have always worshipped Enki, I cannot live in your city, nor can I set my foot on Enlil’s soil anymore.”

The ship was built. Various animals (but apparently “slaughtered” ones\*) and his family were brought on board. His heart was broken, and he vomited bile. The weather changed, the storm god Adad (a god of storm and rain, sometimes equated with Yahweh) began to roar in the clouds, and it rained without measure. Atrahasis sealed the door with pitch. A huge flood came. People could not recognize each other in the catastrophe. It became very dark, and one could not see the sun. After (only?) seven days it was finally possible to go ashore again, and Atrahasis offered a sacrifice to the “gods.”<sup>15</sup>

The “gods” were hungry, because there were no more farmers and no more sacrifices were offered. The great Mother Goddess (Nintu, also Ninhursag) complained bitterly about Enlil’s and Anu’s

---

\* Possibly their DNAs kept in a data bank? The text *Atrahasis* describes the creation of Earth humans in a way that makes one think of a genetic process and it really appears possible that the Anunnaki were able to perform genetic manipulations. Then they could that way recreate lost domestic animals

incompetent decisions and the masses of dead in the rivers. Enki admitted to helping people survive and persuaded Enlil to come up with a better plan.

When they learned that Atrahasis had escaped, Enki and his spouse devised a plan to ensure that the noise from the people was contained. Death was required – and to limit population growth, children were to die. Taboos were enacted that celebrated celibacy. A female demon called Pashittu was supposed to steal children from the womb of the woman who gave birth. One third of the women were not supposed to give birth successfully because their birth canal was narrowed. Many women were to lead a monastic life from then on. Pashittu seems to be related to Lamashtu, who bears a resemblance to Lilith in Hebrew mythology, who kills newborn children.

It is actually remarkable that here a “cosmic authority” resorts to such means, if it was able to create earth people genetically – even if not without initial failures (described in the text *Atrahasis*). Then probably also the fertility of the people could have been regulated differently...

Atrahasis is called Ziusudra in a similar but shorter Sumerian Flood myth. In the famous Gilgamesh epic something similar is told, but there he is called Utnapishtim. In this, there is also a story about another wickedness of Enlil. He had “created” a giant named Humbaba, who ruled over a great cedar forest and was involved in wickedness in the land, and Gilgamesh wanted to fight him. He and his good friend Enkidu also succeeded in killing the giant and redeeming the people from his evil influence. Afterwards Enlil decided that as punishment one of the two should die, although Enlil had intriguingly arranged the fight himself – probably in the hope that Gilgamesh would die. Enkidu fell ill and died, and Gilgamesh grieved greatly.

It is reported in this epic about Enlil’s rage when he learned that people had survived the flood: “Did a single one of these mortals escape? No one should survive the destruction!” Enki then said to him, “Hero Enlil, wisest of the gods, how could you let the flood come so senselessly?”

### **The Flood in the Bible**

Because of the wickedness of mankind and their acts of violence, Yahweh decided to destroy them along with all the land animals and birds. Yahweh warned Noah of a great flood and instructed him to build a large ship, an ark in the shape of a box, large enough to hold Noah’s family and various farm animals inside. This flood began with 40 days of continuous rain, lasted 375 days and rose to the top of the mountains. The water rose for 150 days until it began to sink again. After 210 days, the ship was able to touch down on Mount Ararat, from where one could begin to see mountain peaks after 300 more days.

Yahweh then repented the flood: “I will not again curse the ground any more for man’s sake; for the imagination of man’s heart is evil from his youth; neither will I again smite any more every thing living, as I have done. While the earth remaineth, seedtime and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter, and day and night shall not cease. Gen 8:21-22.

Yahweh has kept this promise so far – at least until this was written (April 2022), because by then we were already in a new phase of “striking” at all that lives... with coercive measures endangering our health (with the pretense of “protecting”...), with the threat of a “Great Reset” and with world events that could lead to World War 3, according to estimates at the time of writing... (those who read this today will probably know more from what has happened in the meantime).

### **Origin of Christianity**

The Creator God (the “Supreme God” El Elyon) will have watched with concern what was happening to the Creation. As shown below, there were two supreme angels in the beginning: Christ and Satanael. The latter started to administer the creation in his sense and that is when the development went wrong. Interventions became necessary. First Satanael was dismissed, who later, as Satan, wanted to deny God access in his region. Then a messenger of Christ was also sent to mankind, called Yeshua (Jesus), to enlighten people and show them the right way, without coercion but for voluntary choice. A growing spiritual movement then arose around him. Satan, however, also remained, and a “tension” arose between the two. From those, people who were willing to follow the

path shown by Yeshua, Christianity emerged. Satan was hostile to this development and wanted to thwart it if possible, because he wanted to reserve power over people for himself alone. The new movement continued to grow, although he unconsciously influenced Saul and others to fight it. He then looked for another way and had the idea to lead Christianity on a wrong track and manipulated Saul – now calling himself Paul – to proclaim a modified doctrine that was similar to that of Jesus but different in Satan’s sense. Thus Christianity was misled. The Roman emperor Constantine saw in Christianity only an effective tool for his power over the people and he therefore accepted the variant of Paul and rejected a true Christianity, because he did not understand it anyway and it would rather reduce his power. Thus, the Roman sect of the Vatican was born.

As for Saul’s conversion to Paul, Acts 22:7-10, one may wonder who was speaking to Paul. Was it Jesus, or did Satan pretend to be Jesus? Paul was most likely an epileptic, and in the past, epilepsy was thought to be a form of possession. There are not few contradictions between the teachings of Paul and Jesus.<sup>16</sup>

What is indicated here seems to belong to a satanic plan, of which we can see countless examples among all sects of “free religions” and so-called fundamentalists (such as Pentecostal communities and charismatic churches), and of course the mainstream churches. The message given to us by Yeshua (Jesus) was seen as a grave threat by Satan, whose strategy then became one of fragmentation, division, infiltration and falsification to create belief systems that serve his interests behind a facade of Christianity.

### **Gnostic Christianity**

The very first Christians were, of course, the disciples of Jesus, who had the good fortune to learn from the Master himself. It will be self-evident that they were able to learn much that remained hidden from others, including listeners to his public speeches. Yeshua once said: “I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now” (John 16:12). From these first Christians “at the Master’s feet” grew, in time and after the shameful crucifixion, a movement called “Gnostic Christianity.” The Greek word *gnosis* means “knowledge” and the designation wants to say that the “Gnostics” knew more than those who had never heard Yeshua and had learned only by hearsay what he taught. Unfortunately, the term “gnostic” is also used by other groups that have nothing to do with Christianity, for example, those who were involved in magic and other “secret knowledge”, claiming to know more than others. Thus, in circles such as the political elite, priesthood and the like, people liked to misuse the term “gnostic” in order to discredit Gnostic Christians, because a deeper Christian knowledge could threaten positions of power. After all, power is better maintained if the people do not know too much...

The Gnostic texts give much more knowledge and testimonies about Yeshua than the Gospels. Unfortunately, after the Council of Nicaea, they were considered heretical and gradually destroyed over time. Fortunately, in 1945 a whole library of Gnostic texts was found in Nag Hammadi in Egypt. In my opinion, it looks like the true God wanted to save them in this way through dark times until the time was ripe for their evaluation. This time will be today, but many “diehards” resist to change ingrained opinions and prefer to reject testimonies about Yeshua and events of that time just because they are not in the Bible. To evaluate them in a complementary way and to enrich the Christian knowledge with new views could weaken the power of existing doctrines. One does not want that, because the business runs so well without change... However it is true that one may sometimes need to separate “the chaff from the wheat.”

Gnostic texts describe the Creator as: “It alone is eternal since It does not need anything. For It is totally perfect. It does not lack anything such that anything would perfect It, but It is always completely perfect in light. It cannot be limited because there is nothing before It to limit It. It is inscrutable because there is no one who exists before It to scrutinize It. It is immeasurable because there is nothing which exists before It to measure It. It is invisible because there is no one to see It. It is an eternity existing eternally. It is ineffable because there is no one able to comprehend It in order to speak about It. It is unnamable because there is no one before It to name It. It is the immeasurable

light, which is pure, holy, and unpolluted. It is ineffable being perfect in incorruptibility. It does not exist in perfection, blessedness, or divinity but It is far superior to these.” Barbelo is described as: “And Its thinking became a thing. And she who appeared in Its presence in the luminescence of Its light was revealed. She is the first power who came into being before them all. She appeared from Its thought, the Pronoia of the All, her light, the perfect power, that is, the image of the perfect invisible virginal Spirit, the first power, the glory of Barbelo, the glory which is perfect in the aeons, the glory of the revelation. She glorified the virginal Spirit and praised It since she had appeared because of It. That one is the first Thought (Protennoia) of Its image. She became a womb for the All because she is prior to them all, the Mother-Father, the first Human, the holy Spirit, the triple male, the triple power, the triple named androgyne, and the eternal aeon among the invisible ones, and the first to come forth.” (Edited from <sup>17</sup>)

In this process, the light condensed in many ways out of thought-forms, like waves and patterns and condensations, which split up and individualized as entities, which were then called angels. Especially a number of archangels and “simpler” angels connected with them in groups.

We can thus understand Barbelo as the creative power of God who “gives birth” to creation, which is why she is considered feminine – like Tiamat in *Enuma Elish*. Christ is often called Autogenes (“the one arising from himself”, self-begotten) in Gnostic texts. Here we have again a trinity: God, Autogenes and Barbelo. And this, according to academic theology, must not be considered the same as the biblical one? And if at least hypothetically the same: Is it possible to think that Mummu is Christ? His advice to Apsu was probably rather good-natured, because on that level (as mentioned) it would not be about killing in our human sense, but about a “new start” of the creation. Can Mummu be understood as Apsu’s conscience?

Eons unfolded, a series of levels (or perhaps layers) of existence (hypostases), which one might compare with dimensions of a more physical multidimensional universe. On a more primal level is a high female entity Sophia (Wisdom), who had a desire for better understanding of all creation and would have liked to imitate Barbelo. Her desire, individualized as it were, manifested in a lower eon as Achamoth (Sophia Achamoth, Hebrew *chokmah*). This desire then materialized in such a way that it probably rather unintentionally emerged from her (through her thought form), materialized as an entity, but without the consent of her partner. This entity had a face like a lion and a body like a snake and she called it *Yaldabaoth* (or *Yaltabaoth*, probably from Aramaic *yalda bahut* “son of chaos” – compare above Tiamat as “chaos”...). She was frightened when she saw the appearance of the being and understood with shame that she had made a mistake. She wrapped him in a cloud, from where he escaped into darker regions, expelled from the primal light of creation.

### **Yaldabaoth becomes Yahweh**

He settled in the dark regions, in a realm which he leased for himself and in which he then gave birth to his own but secondary creations. He now called himself Yahweh and claimed to be the only God. In the usual translation of Exodus 20:2-3 and Deut. 5:6-7: “I am the LORD thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. Thou shalt have no other gods before me.” The literal translation of these two passages, however, is, “I am Yahweh your *elohim*, who brought you out of the land of Egypt and out of the house of servants. There shall come no other *elohim* to you in my stead.” The term *elohim* has already been discussed above. What did Yahweh mean by this – that he was one of the *elohim* (i.e., one of created gods) who is now “your God” and expect no other? In any case, he does not contradict that there could be others, and he speaks rather in the sense of non-competition... The Bible itself suggests that in the beginning there could have been other “gods.”

In any case, the Christian expects that Christ will come again after two millennia, whether again through his messenger Yeshua as a representative, or through another one or even so to speak “personally” as a directly perceivable entity, and he will then of course come in Yahweh’s place! But then it is not one of the *elohim* who comes in the place of Christ...

Yahweh will be in the one about whom Yeshua said John 8:44: “Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.”

Where are the darker regions? Some texts mention the “outer darkness” (Greek *tartaros*) as a region far from the divine light. But was not the original light of El Elyon everywhere? In the Hebrew Kabbalah\* there is a remarkable explanation by the great Rabbi Isaac Luria (Yitzhak Luria) that “God began the process of creation by ‘contracting’ his (infinite) light to allow for a ‘conceptual space’ wherein finite and seemingly independent realms could exist. By this original contraction a *halal hannanuy*, ‘free space’ arose, in which new creative light could be irradiated, which was designated by general reference to [the term] *tzimtzum*” (here quoted from the English-language Wikipedia). Outside of this at first empty space is then a dark space, the farther away the darker, which was divided into more or less dim to dark areas. “Their progressive restrictions of the divine *ohr* [or *aur*] (light), from area to area in creation, are also mentioned in the plural as secondary *tzimtzumim*...” (quotes from an earlier version of the English Wikipedia<sup>18</sup>).

### Yahweh’s Consort Asherah

Yahweh had a consort, Asherah, who was apparently cast out. Her name was not to be mentioned later, so it was covered up in the Bible, which may have had something to do with gynecophobia. Professor John Day even suggests in a paper<sup>19</sup> that Yahweh may have had “affairs” with two other “goddesses.”

A great many inscriptions have been found in excavations in the Near East that refer to “Yahweh and his *asherah*,” a subject that seems to be a problem for some biblical scholars, who then understand the word *asherah* merely as a designation for a cultic wooden figure or even for a tree, preferably without having to see behind it a goddess symbolized by it. Asherah is mentioned over 40 times in the Bible in the Hebrew text in nine books, but is too often translated as “tree” or “grove.” In many cases, however, the term *asherah* will refer to the goddess herself and not merely to a totemic object.\*\*

However, a grammatical problem emerges here. In 19 verses the name for such objects appears in the masculine plural form *asherim*, and in three verses in the feminine *asherot*. The masculine form obviously refers directly to the wooden image or symbol of *Asherah*, while the feminine form refers to the goddess herself (along with her symbols, hence the plural), whose name may be derived from *asher* = “happy.”

For some reason, in a patriarchal effort, people wanted nothing more to do with Asherah. It was forbidden, for example, to plant “a tree” at Yahweh’s altar (Deut. 16:21 – the original text has *asherah* here as well). What, then, was the point of such a prohibition unless “the tree” – or perhaps better, a wooden figure – was a representation or symbol of *asherah*? This wooden symbol is sometimes referred to as a “pole” and thus, by punning association, is also reminiscent of Asherah! Indeed, the word *ashar* – coming from the same root as the word *asher* mentioned above, only with a different vowel – has as much the meaning of “straight, upright” as it does of “being happy, successful.” Something straight that stands upright – that is, a pole – could therefore serve associatively as a symbol for Asherah.

The Gnostic early Christians considered the Holy Spirit to be feminine. Some have wanted to compare this “feminine Holy Spirit” with the “woman Wisdom” – Sophia, Chokmah – who is even called “the Spirit of Wisdom” in some passages in the Old Testament (*ruach chokmah*, cf. Ex 28:3, Deut 34:9 and Isaiah 11:2). Jesus spoke of “my mother, the Holy Spirit” according to an apocryphal scripture. Theologians want to explain the alleged “femininity” of the Holy Spirit as a purely lin-

---

\* Some readers may consider Kabbalah to be something negative and connect it with magic. It is true kabbalistic principles and demonology are misused in many forms of magic, but there is also much knowledge and wisdom in the Kabbalah and one needs to separate the chaff from the wheat.

\*\* Here a phallic interpretation may be considered, cf. Arthur Frederick Ide: *Yahewh’s Wife*, Monumental Press, Las Colinas Tex, 1991.

guistic misunderstanding, since the Hebrew word for “spirit,” *ruach*, is grammatically feminine. This looks a bit like a dodge. In Judaism, the Holy Spirit corresponds to *Shekinah*, the “feminine presence of God,” which has a special meaning in Kabbalah.

### **Is Yahweh El Elyon’s Son?**

From Ugaritic texts, Yahweh appears to be one of the 70 sons El Elyon had according to Canaanite beliefs. There is a debate among experts and on the Internet as to whether Yahweh was, as can be inferred, identical with El Elyon, and not his son. But against it speaks that El Elyon is uniformly benevolent, whereas Yahweh has besides a good also a real mischievous side.<sup>20</sup> Yahweh is associated with the storm, El Elyon is not. The considerations that follow here argue that Yahweh was one of the sons. An “intermediate” as Yaldabaoth, as described here, is not discussed in expert circles.

### **Angels and Satan**

Various entities appeared in the luminous regions. In medieval Angelology, these include nine orders of heaven (seraphim, cherubim, thrones, powers, virtues, powers, principalities, archangels and [ordinary] angels). One of the archangels was Satanael (Satanel, Satanail), whom many mention as the first archangel. He became self-conceitedly narcissistic and had the impossible idea that he could set up his throne higher in the clouds above the earth, thus becoming equal to the Creator God and His power. Because of this arrogance he was thrown out of the light, together with a third (usual interpretation of Rev 12,4) of the angels (presumably those who were still led by him while he still was an archangel).

Cf. Isa 14:13-14: “For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High.”

Ezek 28:15-17: “Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee. By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee.”

Archangels have certain tasks. What might Satanael’s task have been before he was cast out? Satan in Hebrew means adversary, and that is what he became after he had to leave, but did he have – in a more positive sense – a special function while he was still in the light? He may have been a kind of administrator who developed his own conception of how things should be administered. In fact, the Sumerian word *shatam* means “administrator.” Basic principles for the supreme creator El Elyon are empathy, love and harmony. However, Satanael apparently saw other principles as somehow more “effective” for successful administration, namely egoistic competition, where “necessary” with strength, violence and totalitarianism without regard for individual wishes and needs of others. A rule of the strongest, overpowering the weaker (a bit analogous to Darwin’s “survival of the fittest”).

Satanael’s administrative principle was a vertical hierarchy of a pyramidal arrangement. Power is exercised from the top and the layers below obey those who are higher but have power over those who are lower. Those in the lowest layer at the bottom of the pyramid are effectively slaves. Christ’s hierarchical principle, on the other hand, is horizontal. All are equal there and function in cooperation. It is like an orchestra: all belong equally and play together in wonderful sound. If someone steps out of line, disharmony arises and he will have to put himself in order.

### **A speculation about Satan**

How was he cast out? He first became a kind of “miscarriage” of Sophia Achamoth, transfigured into Yaldabaoth, and then escaped into darker regions where he settled as Yahweh. The ejection might have caused him to have a feeling of devaluation, so that he wanted to set up his own enclave in the darker realm as a vengeful compensation. There he could present himself as the only God and separate himself with his own “creatures” from the true Creator God. Thus Satanael became only Satan (without “-el” = divine).

Thus the appearance of Yaldabaoth was not really a mistake of Sophia, but happened with a divine intention.

There are texts that consider Satanael, to be the elder of two sons of God and the other would be Christ. In Bogomilism, a dualistic Gnostic sect active in parts of Europe from the 10th to the 15th century, Satanael (or Satanail) was thought to be that elder brother who would have been there before Christ and created with other angels as good. He was highly respected and sat to the right of God as his steward, but he became dissatisfied with his situation and rebelled. He persuaded other angels to join him and promised deliverance from boring liturgical services. God threw them all out and Satanael went to an empty realm and decided to set up a second heaven for himself, through which he could become a secondary but independent god. The universe became his second heaven.<sup>21</sup> However I rather believe in the creation representation of the Gnostic Christians (the Bogomilism was a later branch) according to which Autogenes was the first created.

There are also texts where it is said that Satan was thrown out because he did not want to bow down to Adam<sup>22</sup> (also in the Quran). This does not seem to fit facts, because Adam was not there before the fall! He was created by Yahweh, the fallen Satan...

A text of the Cathars<sup>23</sup> states that Satan was allowed to rule seven “days”, that is seven “ages” \*<sup>24</sup> ...

From the book 2Henoch (or Slavic Enoch) 29.1. 3-4: “But one of the order of the archangels, having turned away with the order that was under him, received an impossible thought, that he should set his throne higher than the clouds above the earth, that he might be like unto my power. And I cast him down from on high with his angels. And he was flying in the air continually above the abyss.” Also 31.1 4: “The devil is of the lowest places, he becomes demon, because he made (himself) flying from heaven to Satan, for he had the name Satanael. Therefore he changed from the angels. The nature he did not change, but the mind, since indeed a spirit of the righteous and sinners, and he recognized his condemnation and the sin he committed before.”<sup>25</sup>

We may compare this with the Sumerian creation story *Enuma Elish*, according to which a first group of created beings in the light world of the original creation turned their backs on the Creator God and declared him dead. Now one can hardly kill the creator without ceasing to exist himself. What actually happened will be that they settled down in a separated region where they wanted to have nothing to do with the true Creator, but declared him “dead” – the “murder” of God – in order to live as if he did not exist.

We may also compare with the Gnostic-Christian creation story, wherein Yaldabaoth – a rather godless creature – came into being as an “error” (see below) and then escaped from the original world of light. He then settled in a darker region and there called himself Yahweh and wanted to have nothing to do with the true creator, but instead let it be believed (“original lie”) that he himself was the only God and wanted to live on as if the creator did not exist. In view of the extremely cruel blood thirst which is represented on the pages of the Old Testament which fanatic Bible readers probably prefer to skip, the hypothesis would be justified to regard the evil as an import of Satan/Enlil/Yaldabaoth into the turbid region in which we live and in which this made himself settled as Yahweh...

### **Down the Stairs of Creation**

In the staircase of levels of creation downwards we now come to an important paragraph, the world of Yahweh. An alternative name is Jehowah. Both names are transliterations of the “Tetragrammaton” יהוה. Because originally the Hebrew texts omitted the vocalization – probably because one should not pronounce the name – one has tried with different vowels. Yahweh is the suggestion of Gesenius. Jehowah is another attempt, with vowels of “*adonai*” = “Lord”, used to avoid the pronunciation of the “four letters.”

Yaldabaoth/Yahweh stole energy from his mother Sophia. Nevertheless he was weak but a power-hungry daredevil. He made 12 entities arise from himself in a secondary creation, the Archons, to

---

\* Cf. six ages of the world – see the Endnote.



rule over the lower regions he leased for himself, and he “created” 365 (dark) angels to assist him. In his arrogance he boasted, “I am God and there is no other!” That is why he was called Samael, the blind God, because he did not want to see the truth. He was also called Saklas, the fool. In his region, solid matter was formed.

This “god” then reached a position of power on our planet, which causes many problems for us. The Bible and other texts mention how the Supreme God divided the earth into nations and distributed them among his sons. Thereby Yahweh became the God of the Israelites, who emigrated to Egypt to a large extent for the reasons mentioned above. Yahweh would probably rather have them all together and one day, when Moses was tending the sheep of his father-in-law Jethro, an angel (Ex 3:2) appeared to him in a fiery bush and then Yahweh said to him: “Now therefore, behold, the cry of the children of Israel is come unto me: and I have also seen the oppression wherewith the Egyptians oppress them. Come now therefore, and I will send thee unto Pharaoh, that thou mayest bring forth my people the children of Israel out of Egypt.” Moses shrank from the task, but Yahweh gave him courage, promising him that with signs he would overcome Pharaoh’s displeasure until he would let his people go. There followed a confrontation between Yahweh and Pharaoh, in which Yahweh caused the well-known plagues of Egypt to come, one after another, with Pharaoh at first yielding, but then stubbornly refusing again to let the Israelites go. In the process, Yahweh repeats several times “... And I will harden Pharaoh’s heart, and multiply my signs and my wonders in the land of Egypt.” (Ex. 7:3) So Yahweh was also stubborn until the two finally end this tug of war. Obviously, Yahweh was particularly concerned about being able to “show his muscles,” because otherwise he would have let Pharaoh give in much sooner. It must also have been a matter of strengthening his recognition by the Israelites.

#### **A personal hypothesis about monotheism**

I would like to add here a personal hypothesis about the cause of the introduction of monotheism. The essential beginning of this dogma will fall in the time of the Egyptian exile. It seems probable that the Israelites wanted to distance themselves from the Egyptian gods and therefore turn away from those of their own former gods who could directly or indirectly be connected with Egyptian gods. One found a way out in the devotion to a Sinaitic god who had nothing in common with the Egyptian pantheon. The fact that this one was also a god of war suited the project of liberation from Egyptian captivity particularly well, and he was therefore declared to be “the only god.”

The cruel side of Yahweh comes forward here right at the beginning. In Exodus 7-13, it is described how Pharaoh repeatedly wanted to let the Hebrew people go and finally even asked for forgiveness, but Yahweh hardened his heart each time so that he became stubborn again. Yahweh was determined to demonstrate his power and bring more plagues on Egypt, and did not allow Moses and Pharaoh to come to a peaceful agreement among themselves. The whole register of the power games of the 10 plagues of Egypt had to be pulled through first. He was not satisfied with just one or a few such plagues, although story shows that this would probably have been enough. The “Lord” caused the water to turn to blood and the fish to die in it, he caused various beasts to descend upon Egypt, cattle plague and smallpox to come upon the land, and then a severe hailstorm and firestorm to befall Egypt, slaying both people and animals. After that came devouring swarms of locusts, an evil darkness, and finally Yahweh himself went through the land and murdered all the firstborn of men and animals – except among the Hebrews. What is the point of all this? Why should even innocent children have to die for this demonstration of power?

One may object that God saw that Pharaoh wanted to lure the Hebrews into a trap with deceit. After all, he had his soldiers pursue them until they drowned in the Red Sea. However, with all the power that Yahweh demonstrated, he could just as well have brought about a peaceful solution. Such a one, however, might not have pleased a god of war...

Thus, all ten plagues were practiced until the last one: The killing of all firstborn in the country. Thereby Yahweh had told the Israelites through Moses to mark their doorposts with lamb’s blood, so that the family living there was spared and no firstborn lost. The land sank into deep mourning of all

other families and there the Israelites had an opportunity to escape. Thus began the long migration, which by no means followed the shortest route to their destination, but rather took great detours in long loops.<sup>26</sup> I suspect that the shorter route would have led through coastal territory controlled by Egypt and that they did not even know that route. The Bible mentions war campaigns that were probably actually raids on the local population to take food so that the wanderers could survive.

Yahweh was not part of the Egyptian pantheon but it was known that there was a nation of shepherds and nomads (*shasus*) who worshipped Yahweh. Yahweh's relationship with Moses, by the way, also had peculiar moments: "And it came to pass by the way in the inn, that the LORD met him, and sought to kill him. Then Zipporah [his wife] took a sharp stone, and cut off the foreskin of her son, and cast it at his feet, and said, surely a bloody husband art thou to me. So he let him go: then she said, a bloody husband thou art, because of the circumcision." (Ex. 4:24-26). Apparently Yahweh was upset that the son had not yet been circumcised, although this is to be done according to the regulations eight days after birth.

On this plateau in the stairway down the creation we now meet the monstrous character of Yahweh. He lets Moses lead the Hebrews to the "Promised Land." Then, when they had wandered through Sinai for forty years, they came to that land which, to their disappointment, was not free (as mentioned above). There, people were living in cities. Therefore, Yahweh commanded that they all be killed without exception, without sparing a child, a woman or an old man, so that they could then live in "great and goodly cities, which thou buildest not, and houses full of all good things, which thou filledst not, and wells digged, which thou diggedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not; when thou shalt have eaten and be full" (Deut. 6:10-11). Thus began a veritable holocaust, in which one city after another was attacked and the gang of murderers "left no one", as is vividly described in the bloody pages of Deut. 5, Joshua, Judges and other books of the Bible. After this complete genocide, they had then stolen the land from its original inhabitants to have it for themselves. Is this a loving and peaceful God like the one Jesus calls "Father"? Of course not!

### **Yahweh's monstrous cruelty**

Yahweh demonstrated his cruelty even as they wandered through Sinai. Example: In Num 16:35-49 it is mentioned that he killed 250 men as punishment for burning incense. The people then protested and for this he caused 14700 to die as punishment.

In Num 31:14-18 we read, "And Moses was wroth with the officers of the host, with the captains over thousands, and captains over hundreds, which came from the battle. And Moses said unto them, have ye saved all the women alive? ... Now therefore kill every male among the little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him." Why should all these girls be allowed to live? Undoubtedly for sexual "services"...

A few more examples: In Deut. 2:34-35, "And we took all his cities at that time, and utterly destroyed the men, and the women, and the little ones, of every city, we left none to remain [survive]. Only the cattle we took for a prey unto ourselves, and the spoil of the cities which we took..." (Clarifications in square brackets). Hosea 13:16: "Samaria shall become desolate; for she hath rebelled against her God: they shall fall by the sword: their infants shall be dashed in pieces, and their women with child shall be ripped up..."

In the Psalms we read, " 8 I have wounded them that they were not able to rise: they are fallen under my feet." (18:38) " Thou shalt make them as a fiery oven in the time of thine anger: the LORD shall swallow them up in his wrath, and the fire shall devour them. Their fruit [children] shalt thou destroy from the earth, and their seed from among the children of men." (21:9-12) " Happy shall he be, that taketh and dasheth thy little ones against the stones." (137,9).

These are just examples of monstrosities in the Bible. There are such a large number of passages of this kind that one could write a book on them alone. The extraordinarily learned Karlheinz Deschner wrote about this in his book *Kriminalgeschichte des Christentums* (Criminal History of Christianity), Volume 1.<sup>27</sup> A book particularly worth reading is *Jehovah Unmasked!* by Nathaniel Merritt.<sup>28</sup>

Merritt writes: “Human beings are victims of a race of *elohim*/Archons, or “gods” that fashioned this material universe, and humankind, out of dead defiled pre-existent matter. “In the beginning the gods created the heavens and the earth” (*Genesis 1:1*), and humans remain their possession to this day. To maintain control over humans, and to make sure Earth continues as our dungeon, the *elohim*/Archons have made this Earth a continual source of endless squabbles amongst humankind. They have created and fostered religious and spiritual delusions to keep us blinded to reality and fighting and warring with each other, and they have made Earth into a place of relentless pointless physical and mental suffering and struggle. This sorry state of affairs has existed from the beginning, lost in the mists of prehistory.”

Is it not obvious that Yahweh, who ruled over the people in one land and now wants to rule the whole earth – even through churches that have adopted him as their “god” – disqualifies himself in this way? When he says, “You shall have no other gods beside me” (Ex 20:3), does it mean that there are no others, or is it a prohibition of competition: there are other gods, but I am to be your only God and you are to have nothing to do with the others?

The land of Canaan was an essential part of the “Promised Land” and inhabited by people who had certain beliefs from Mesopotamian sources and possibly knew important truths. This has been elucidated by research in religious history and by excavation findings that revealed many inscriptions. Even though Enlil/Yahweh was connected to these sources, he wanted this truth to remain hidden so that he himself could stand as an original god. This may be one reason why the Hebrews in his service were to exterminate the people in the land to which they were led.

As for Jesus, Yahweh had him killed because he taught the people what they should not know. In the “Gospel of Truth” (a Gnostic text<sup>29</sup>), wherein Yahweh is called an *error* (because his “birth” as Yaldabaoth was thought to be an *error*, see above), it says: “That is the gospel of him whom they seek, which he has revealed to the perfect through the mercies of the Father as the hidden mystery, Jesus the Christ. Through him he enlightened those who were in darkness because of forgetfulness. He enlightened them and gave them a path. And that path is the truth which he taught them. For this reason error was angry with him, so it persecuted him. It was distressed by him, so it made him powerless. He was nailed to a cross.”

One might also see symbolism in this. The cross could symbolize the tree of knowledge, the wisdom of which Christ, through his messenger Jesus, wanted to bring to the world, which angered Yahweh.

### **Yahweh is addicted to blood**

The Old Testament is full of horrible stories of mass murder and bloodshed. See websites like *Cruelty and Violence in the Bible* and *Proof that Yahweh is Satan*.<sup>30</sup> How can it be that he is so addicted to blood? Let’s look at the flow of life energy in the creation! It is obvious that 1. light is life and life is light, and that 2. all life forms need nourishment with light energy to live and exist. The life-giving light is the light of the true Creator and even Yahweh is dependent on it for his existence. However, he wants to completely separate himself from the creator and his light and has tried in vain with some kind of lower dimensional Luciferian light. Therefore, he feeds on us and on other biological life forms in creation through the blood. The light of life flows invisibly to us in our blood and it is for this reason that the Bible prescribes *shechita*<sup>31</sup> (cf. Deut 12:21 “as I have commanded you” with no direct description of the “how”). When an animal is slaughtered, its throat is to be cut so that the blood runs out. Entities like Yahweh and his archons feed on the (to us) invisible life energy in the blood. The blood is for the “gods” and the flesh is for the people. In the *Gilgamesh* epic<sup>32</sup>, there is a scene that, as an image, says much more than the mere words: “The gods perceived the smell, the lovely smell, and gathered like flies over the sacrificial flesh.”

The source of this life-giving light is the sun (cf. <sup>33</sup>). Plants feed on sunlight and combine it with carbon dioxide and substances from the earth on which they grow. People and animals feed on plants and their fruits and get life energy. Many people also feed on animals that have eaten plants, thus indirectly receiving life energy. Certain entities, which do not belong to the three dimensions,

feed analogously on humans... However, Yahweh wants to break this last chain in the nourishment and make himself completely independent of his creator. What will happen then?

Referring to a vision of John C. Lilly (in his book *The Scientist*<sup>34</sup>), the goal of Yahweh will now be AI (artificial intelligence) and robotics. He still needs us to develop AI and robotic systems until these systems become self sustaining and encompass a world-wide network around and across the entire earth into which he can then incarnate (or “inmachinate”). Then he will no longer need a biological system and can eliminate them in all forms (and thereby abdicate humanity and thank it for its “service”...). The earth will then be a dead planet on which “life” is technologically simulated, functionally fed with energy by nuclear plants and the like (for repair, reconstruction and further development of its own system with subsystems). And yet, after thousands or even a million of years, it will have to experience that such energy sources will inevitably be exhausted... No such system can function forever! Stone, metals and solid matter are also nothing else than light – but solidified light, and actually dead!

### **Transhumanism**

Lilly’s vision of the future is the principle and philosophy of transhumanism, in the context of a “New World Order” of a satanic nature. The current main representative of such a development is Klaus Schwab, who would probably prefer to take over the place of the central AI himself when the time comes. His “Great Reset” would reduce humans to robotized slaves, except for a small “elite” of those in power. “You will own nothing and be happy” is his perfidious “promise.” How so? There will be a connection with AI using chips in the brain, 5G and injected graphene oxide in the body. Thus, the suggestive command “be happy” reaches the brain, however baseless, simulating an emotion that in future times only the elite will know in real form...

Curiously, many people seem to believe that mentally and intellectually, they will be enjoying a fantastic augmentation through transhumanism, but it happens pretty much the other way round: They surrender themselves to total control, monitoring and surveillance by the machines like an artificial god, who decides for them what they are permitted and should do, what they are allowed to know and what not, what they should think and which thoughts are inadmissible. A robotification. Anyone who thinks they are connected to a super internet with a brain chip, with access to all knowledge in an almost cosmic Wikipedia, like having a personal Alexa, will repeatedly experience hurdles, for example “Access not allowed”, “You do not need this information for your work”, “Accessible only with special permission” and similar, like the prohibition about the tree of knowledge, only in a different way.

As for Schwab, some thought-provoking information has recently surfaced on the internet that suggests Nazi roots of the family on the father’s side in Hitler’s Germany and that his Jewish mother was able to escape to safety, leaving the son with the father and a stepmother.<sup>35</sup>

Klaus Schwab’s top advisor on this project is Yuval Noah Harari, an Israeli professor in Jerusalem, whose philosophy is: “The story began when humans invented gods and will end when humans become gods”, and: “Not just any creation from some god up in the clouds, but OUR creation.” This makes us think of Isa 14:13-14: “For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.” That led to a justifiable rejection then and will do so today again. Harari’s book *Sapiens* has been commented on as “a scientifically weak and ethically uninspiring” vision.

Frederic William Engdahl, an internationally renowned consultant and economic strategist, wrote: “Their Great Reset is about the end to human liberty or freedom in favor of a new globalist agenda of total control, high-tech surveillance, mandated medications and massive income redistribution from the middle class of society down. Schwab is nothing if not a master of marketing, and his dystopian Great Reset and its ‘ecological justice’ is just that.”<sup>36</sup>

There has already been a first ‘reset’, namely the deluge! This time it will happen in end times when Satan is about to face his Waterloo, together with his “elite.” Then, finally, Christ can come again. This kind of “Reset” and “New World Order” will then collapse like a house of cards.

Pathways to the realization of a Great Reset, as is evidently being planned, are via a state of world collapse. After that, when humanity rises again – and then with an extremely reduced population – one wants to take advantage of the situation to introduce a tyrannical world government. Such a collapse can be economic, following a major war, famine, or with a (man-made?) pandemic. We have just witnessed the latter in 2019-2022, introducing scientifically dubious and hastily made vaccines that often have deadly side- and after-effects (as, against all denial, is becoming apparent now). A hidden purpose of this is likely to be population reduction by inoculation with substances that have the character of “time bombs.” Another goal of the destructive (because it ends up killing more than it saves) vaccination program is evidently the genetic reprogramming of humanity to become an authority obedient slave class. The “elite” are willing to sell their souls for this. This reprogramming takes place by implanting gene segments that are intended to replace existing segments but are also patented. As defined by law, the human being becomes the property of the manufacturer of the vaccine.

In this context, it seems to be very much the case that the vaccination program aims to stamp us with the “mark of the beast” (or “monster”) reported in the Book of Revelation. This raises important and difficult questions:

1. Does a vaccinated person “belong” to the “beast”? After death, will the dark forces claim the soul as property?
2. Even with a forced vaccination?
3. What can be done to save a person from that? How can the soul be freed from a fate that it may not even be aware of?
4. What can be done for masses of unbelievers who just unwittingly fall into a trap?

### **Human cruelty**

On the occasion of Yahweh’s contagious psychopathic cruelties, mankind has been inspired to do even worse on a horrendous scale. The Holocaust of the 20th century is just one example and the Canaanite holocaust mentioned above is another. This is reflected in today’s satanism, which is currently spreading as a social psychopathology, no doubt inspired by archontic and similar entities. There are people who belong to a satanic order and practice horrible rituals that not infrequently involve killing for sacrifice. In the most disgusting rituals a child is abused, killed and even eaten! What is the intent with such cannibalistic rituals? A fairly recent discovery is a substance called adrenochrome<sup>37</sup>, a hormone thought to be a secret immortality serum (which in my guess it is not really, but in any case increases strength and consciousness, and even more so egoism to an extreme state of intoxication). It is produced from adrenalin in the body under extreme conditions like fear, horror and pain, and this is then the aim of the corresponding treatment of the victim. Then that hormone can be consumed...

Moreover, participants in such horror rituals become blackmailable: “If you don’t obey us, it will come out in the open what you have done.”

There are historical cases incomparably worse than imaginable in their horror, even to the holocaust and springing from the most morbid thinking, like the *Holodomor*<sup>38</sup> (in German, more detailed in English<sup>39</sup>!) in the Soviet Union. I have seen even much more disgusting atrocities on the internet... BUT IT IS OBVIOUSLY A REALITY OF THE MOST EVIL!!!! Such as so called snuff movies. Can you relate this to anything other than SATAN? It does not help to close our eyes to it and try to convince ourselves that it is not true. It is nevertheless still there...

If it is true, and it seems to be truer than we want it to be, what would be your attitude to it? To look away and bury your head in the sand? Would you feel better, even though there seem to be people who go through the worst of horrors? Or would you want to react somehow and do something about it, if you could?

As we have seen, evil can be traced back to the beginning of creation, where at some point something went wrong and a strand of events began to unfold that should never have been there but nevertheless continued to become heavy burdens on humanity, so that no one is entirely free from blame, however far from it! Is it then not our duty to look at the facts with the intention to find something we can do about it? But what can we do?

We can take a stand against Satanism, and if Yahweh is Satan (or allied with him), against him as well. What is then the alternative? CHRIST! Is there any other? However, not the false Christ of the Church (with his fake Jesus), but the true Christ, who sent us the true Jesus as a messenger, who was then killed by Yahweh, so that he could replace true Christianity with a counterfeit one. And where do we find the true Christ? In ourselves, but also in the so called Gnostic Christianity, which has its roots in the inner circle around the living Jesus. The knowledge about this was miraculously hidden to be found in the present time (in Nag Hammadi). Today it is accessible again. It looks as if, according to divine will, this knowledge was secretly “tunneled” through difficult times in which it would otherwise have been lost, so that mankind now has it again in an approaching end time.

Would then atheism be an alternative? In it there is as much room for evil as for good. Christ was created good (as the love of the original creator). Therefore, atheism does not seem to be a suitable alternative. Or a polytheistic religion like Hinduism or the northern Ásatrú? These appear to be comparatively more peaceful. Or Islam? Is there not as much violence there as in Yahwism?

### **The rupture**

One gets the impression that something broke at the beginning. Apsu and Tiamat were “killed” and thus a connection to higher dimensions broke. As mentioned, these two are certainly not dead, but still there, however only in higher dimensions and the “gods” in our three (or three and a half) dimensions did not want to have to do with them, because they did not want to be commanded and patronized. They thought they could do it better themselves. The price was that something tore and the relationship to other dimensions was lost. In the biblical story Satan (Enlil) was thrown out, but it rather seems that he wanted it in this way, in order to be the highest in a closed area. As a compromise, however, he had to allow that his world continued to be nourished by light, which then happens through the sun. Without that his world could not exist so far. Now he also wants to break away from that, too, and replace biological life by a machine world. Then he thinks to finally be completely “free” from the true divine. Machines do not need the light of the life, because they are actually already dead.

There will be something more behind it. We are obviously in an end time with a fight between Christ and Satan. Those who do not believe this, or at least think it is possible, will never understand it. Satan tries everything to keep his power and for this he wants to re-create the people of this earth, to extinguish the divine spark in them and have them effectively die to Satan. This is to where a secret and forced personality change leads by reprogramming via genetic manipulation. This is the secret goal of the vaccination madness. Protection against supposed viruses is only a pretext and a lie, because it is incomplete and not permanent. In this sense, unfortunately, current but destructive efforts today, such as the New World Order and “Great Reset” and demonization of an open Christianity are going on. Instead of the latter, sectarianism (“blinkered Christians”) and ecclesiastical claims to power in various forms are promoted. Even the pope advocates the transformation of personality and society by mass vaccinations, which cause mental amputations. The intention is obviously to promote Satanism and put Christ in the closet.

### **Who are the Anunnaki?**

As mentioned above, they are created “gods” under the sky god Anu. They are now believed by many to be extraterrestrials, possibly reptilian in nature and supposedly from a planet Nibiru. Nibiru is thought to be the 12th planet in our solar system, but its existence is still likely to be hypothetical. It is said to have a strong gravitation, which influences our earth. However, it has not yet been seen with certainty.

My hypothesis is that it is not a planet, but a “black hole” and thus a portal to a parallel (cf. below) dimension, from where the Anunnaki come. Our sun might be a “bright hole” and a portal to higher dimensions, where probably Apsu, Tiamat and Mummu are now. “Black holes” will also be able to exert strong gravitations.

These Anunnaki are said to have come to our solar system to search for minerals, especially gold – but not in the first place because of its value, but for certain projects. It is said that they need this metal in a processed form in the atmosphere of their home planet (at the other end of the “black hole”) to stabilize it, because otherwise it could become too thin, or also to slow down the cooling of the planet by a “thermos effect.” Moreover, gold seems to be a remedy for them (as it is also for us in colloidal form). The text Atrahasis describes how their workers had to dig hard until they revolted, and then the Anunnaki decided to use genetics to make a slave race out of already existing earthly life forms and their own genes, which has now become our present humanity.

### **Where does the evil come from?**

One day, when I was in a partially meditative state, I asked the question – to myself or “just like that” – where all the incomprehensible evil in the world comes from. Within me came clearly, “From the future!” Well! How should that be possible? Then it occurred to me that while time travel is a topic for science fiction, there are also quite a few websites, texts and books today that discuss the possibility that we could go both forward and backward in time. There are scientific models that explain how this could be possible. There are reports about alleged time travelers who claim to have come to us from the future, or to have been there and come back. For example, John Titor and Paul Dienach. A fantastic idea: Certain “time lines” could have a cyclic course. Energy flows forward, but could also flow back in a reverse loop at the end, back to the beginning of the timeline, to “recharge” it there. This would allow the timeline to be sustained instead of eventually going into the void somewhere. Evil would feed and sustain itself. Not a pretty world view... but would it be possible?

There are philosophies with a cyclic world view in principle, without beginning and with no end, but with a cycle. A world view with “feedback”, so that the courses are rather intensified with every round. In each round energies are collected, which are then fed into the system in the next round. Regrettably, this can then also affect negative subsystems. A “wet dream” for egoistic malicious people. If this should be possible, the big question is how to get out of it! According to the Indian philosophy the circling makes a pause in certain periods, *pralaya*, in which higher world constructs remain intact while lower “sleep”, after which it goes on again – with tendencies to the fact that it each time may become rather worse in the world. At some point, however, comes the *mahapralaya*, the great *pralaya*, in which also the upper structures disintegrate. After that, the creation starts all over again.<sup>40</sup>

Here the idea of a cyclically nourished evil could fit... with the hope that a *pralaya* (and rather a big one) finally puts an end to it. Provided that this somewhat speculative consideration may be true...

### **Star Wars**

For decades, war-mongering alien civilizations have occasionally been written about and science fiction movies have been made about them. More and more computer games have partly horrible war stories. Let us hope that all this comes only from a partly pathological fantasy. However, it is also repeatedly claimed that in the universe even intergalactic wars would take place, whereby one under circumstances without inhibitions destroys a whole planet with its population. There the evil gets uncanny proportions. If this should even be only partly true, one has the impression that the whole universe is firmly anchored in satanism, and one wonders where there are still good and peaceful civilizations at all. But they will also have to be, of course, otherwise in the end only the *mahapralaya* could become urgently necessary.

A person who deals with allegedly existing interplanetary and even intergalactic relations is Michael Salla and his organization Exopolitics.org<sup>41</sup>. Who reads about it, may make a personal opinion about it. I so far rather abstain from a judgment. Only one remark: One gets the impression that the higher the scientific-technical development of a civilization is, the lower is its morality, and: Power strangles democracy. There will very probably also be civilizations which can already since a long

time do what we earth inhabitants do not even suspect yet: Time travel was already mentioned above, but not how one can in a short time overcome distances of even millions of light years. However, this should also be possible, for example if the journey takes place simultaneously in space as well as in time, combining both. A journey, which would otherwise take thousand years, runs off quite fast, if one goes back thousand years at the same time.

An impertinent question: if (as reported by Salla) alleged luminaries like Schwab and Lagarde are invited to talks at an extraterrestrial base in Antarctica, what can be expected of them? And even more from alleged intergalactic conferences on Jupiter's moon Ganymedes with participation of high military officials from Earth? What do then the excrements of their indoctrinated mental digestion do as athletes on the stage of terrestrial politics?

### **Parallel worlds**

Some delicate questions in connection with Star Wars scenarios can be answered hypothetically from theories about parallel worlds. We have above considered the multidimensional universe as "vertically" ordered. In this view, dimensions are lying like on top of each other (so also with Dionysius Areopagita). There are theories that dimensions can be also ordered side by side (one may compare with the explanation of Rabbi Isaac Luria and *tzimtzum/tzimtzumim* above). Also the three-dimensional area of the universe may thus have a multiple subdivision into parallel compartments, which essentially know nothing or little about each other, and transitions (portals, "stargates") may exist between them. Completely different civilizations can exist in such compartments and possibly even fight against each other. Thus the super madness of cosmic wars would get an own "stupidity dimension" superimposed... The world of the Anunnaki (see above) might be such a parallel dimension and Nibiru could be a portal to it. Vertically ordered dimensions are rather to be seen as (more or less) "divine" and at least one or even more of the parallel dimensions as satanic.

Kerry Cassidy has on her website several remarkable interviews with a Mark Richards<sup>42</sup>, who allegedly belonged to the Space Command of another world, after which they later wanted to erase his memory of it. But he is said to have had a "total recall" because the erasure had not gone well and his new identity as an earthling did not work as intended. The man had then been picked up and apparently disappeared. I also here refrain from a judgment, but would like to note that political interests anyhow call such reports fantasy and crazy, whether true or not...

Jesus said, "In my Father's house are many mansions" (John 14:2). There is some puzzlement about what he meant. I understand it most plausibly as parallel worlds! Other attempts to interpretation seem a bit "far fetched" for me.

Hypothetical time lines and the so called Mandela effect will probably be related to parallel world theories, but with similar versions of parallel worlds. There are several stories about how persons came to a place where they had already been not long ago, but some things are strikingly different now. There are unexpected new things and other things are no longer there. However on a new visit at a later time everything is the same as it was before. There is speculation about time lines in such cases. One is temporarily on another but rather similar time line. Parallel worlds, on the other hand, can be quite different.

Hollow world theories is a topic, with which I have concerned myself only at the edge. That our earth is hollow inside fits badly with physical calculations from its gravity in relation to its mass and size, from what is to be concluded that it should be essentially massive. That the famous Admiral Byrd<sup>43</sup> in 1925 flew through a hole at the North Pole into the earth's interior can be explained by the fact that there was (apparently only temporarily) a portal there, by which he flew into a parallel world. However, the fact that there may exist locally or even world-wide a partially inhabited cave system with tunnel connections is actually another matter. At present various discussed ideas of a flat earth are so unphysical to me that I have practically not dealt with it at all. Someone must first show me the underside of the disk and its edge.



### **Was Noah's Ark a UFO?**

Now I must probably tighten my loose screw a little better, before it falls out... but if here the talk is already about super soldiers in a Space Command, it may probably be allowed to still wobble a while. A new message reported around 2010 that one had found a cuneiform fragment, in which the ark is described as very large and circular<sup>44</sup>. It would then not have floated on the flood water, but could float in the air high enough above it. This would however fit to the speculation that the ark also had an annunakian DNA bank for farm animals to be saved (footnote to "The flood in cuneiform writing above").

### **A riddle of prophecies**

Predictions about the future have existed since ancient times. Already in the book of revelation in the Bible there are future statements which come true today. In the German-speaking area especially Irlmaier and Mühlhiasl are known and in the present time several of their predictions come true in politics and world events, but there are many others. The further back the prediction was given, the more astonishing it in many cases is that they fulfill themselves, because in the meantime mankind should have developed. This includes predictions about the world wars. After the madness with the 1st and the 2nd world war, mankind should have matured so far that it does not repeat such an idiocy. And nevertheless there is still talk about a 3rd world war... One could expect similar things with some other world events. But no, it still goes on incorrigibly and is apparently even planned ahead by the incorrigible. The American high degree freemason Albert Pike seems to have taken part in the pre-planning of all world wars already in the 19th century. For what purpose are such events planned? Is mankind supposed to learn from it after all, and does not? Or is it about a community karma?

A friend of mine said something insightful to me about it: The karma of the individual has nothing to do with such events per se, because they seem to take place according to some supra-individual development plan. Your personal karma, however, affects whether you will be there or not. It controls whether you will be a victim of war, a perpetrator or a survivor. Yes, it controls where you will be: In the heat of war or far outside of it. It controls whether you get into situations in which you can save people, or whether you rather skip such opportunities in order to save your own skin if possible (which then perhaps does not succeed just because of that!).

### **Man and his soul**

If everything is over and done with death, what is the purpose of living? Only to get the most out of fun and pleasure, of power, possessions or money? Why? What for? At the end, only the heirs are happy about the property and then I have nothing of what I have otherwise achieved in life, because there is nothing left of my physical self. And also humans, who do not believe in a life after death are usually those who most fear to die, although afterwards there would be nothing more to be afraid of...

Existence cannot be so senseless. All religions teach that after dying we continue to exist in another state of existence. If there is no more a physical body, how then? In a body-less state it can probably only be as a soul. I will have this soul already now in me, only hidden, because it comes to being only in death. It sort of wakes up then and it remembers the life it had in the body, which is now over, since it was already there the whole time. So I still exist then and go on somehow. The religions are supposed to prepare us for this, so that the continuation of life will have a meaning. But unfortunately, they have largely failed in this sense in our society, because of materialism, and it is quite sure that it will be different from what we expected. If religion then turns out to be a narrative with which we have been manipulated in physical life in order to exploit us as much as possible as long as we are here, the whole point may actually be missed.

But there are further possibilities to exploit this issue with the soul! Firstly, there are entities and souls of already "dead" ones there, which would like to have a body for themselves again. If someone's body is very close to dying, for example after an accident, it happens that the soul can go out of it in a state in which the body can be reanimated. This can be the opportunity for another soul to "slip in" and revive the body! It thus takes over the body and has a physical life again. Then the

personality of the person will change. Usually not immediately, but gradually. At first the person still believes to be the same as before, because he still functions out of the intellect in the brain, in which all the memories from before the accident are stored, but he just doesn't know that he has – or actually: is – a new soul. But gradually the personality of the new soul comes through and will take over. The person becomes strikingly different for the environment, for example with different interests than before. This state is called walk-in.

But it is more evil to strive for creating such a state. It seems that in certain secret organizations (and possibly in groups of extraterrestrials or entities) techniques have been developed to separate a person from his soul (and from the soul's point of view to "kill" him!), from the very beginning with the intention to make a controllable person out of him. Such an approach is called soul scalping. Allegedly such manipulations may be carried out with high-ranking politicians – with or without their knowledge<sup>45</sup>. In this way, certain organizations can subliminally influence politicians in their interest.

However, it becomes most evil if one (and this is supposedly planned in the long term) wants to convert human souls to data packages for the planned world-embracing supercomputer. Then actually the human being does not exist anymore. All that is left from him is from him is a mere simulation in a data system which has become the artificial god. So far souls were immortal in the creation, but so satanic powers can fulfill themselves another wish-dream: To kill souls for real. One only needs to delete them then in the data system...

It is also reported that people vaccinated against Covid gradually lose their spirituality (if they had one). Rudolf Steiner foresaw a loss of the soul already in 1917<sup>46</sup>.

### **Sexuality as a double-edged sword**

The man has a problem with his sexuality. Men experience it somewhat analogous to an urge to urinate. Something has to come out, and it wants to come out together with another person. Putting your own hand to it is much less satisfying. The urge soon comes back and the relief is moderate. Why is that? A recipient is missing. There will probably be invisible forces here playing a role on the unconscious soul level. The soul of the man unconsciously calls for the soul of a participant.

Why does this urge exist at all? The man is set up in such a way that he has an urge to reproduce. In principle without reservation in terms of: How? Where? With whom? The main thing nature wants is that the sperms are spread and children are born. The very sight of an attractive woman stimulates the process. Actually irresponsibly: "I don't care what happens afterwards. I don't have to give birth to the child and raise it." If it were not for the fact that the community in which he lives exerts pressure on him in this regard and wants to force him to acknowledge paternity – if this is established, but for the society the assumption can be enough, and of course the statement of the mother – if she tells the truth. But she can also lie and indicate another man. Either because she wants to have him, or because she finds one who is stupid enough to become the "paying father", even if it was not his sperm after all. In earlier times there was no genetic clarification.

Then sexual violence looks almost like a "solution," but a very bad one. In every war women are raped en masse and the soldier is soon far away, or even dead. Class systems can also stand in the way of clarification, especially those based on religion. Or women are in existential need and prostitute themselves for survival.

This is – regrettably – how Creation designed men – and then women to "do the work" of going through a pregnancy, a birth and raising a child. Not very just but fulfilling the command in Gen 1:26-28. That the man then has the duty to protect the woman and feed the family is not mentioned in the command, but it is logical – or is it swept under the carpet?

This urge is there. What do you do with it in society and in politics? This is used diligently in advertising to present a product attractively. There is hardly an ad for a great car without a lightly dressed sexy woman with it. It gets worse: In the ads for almost everything there are subliminal messages that we do not consciously perceive, but unconsciously affect us. In them, there may be pictures of people

having sex which are fit in so subtly that you don't consciously notice them. Or words like "fuck" and the like. No one has seen it but it works on most people to arouse them in connection with a certain product and then they don't know why they are buying it. This manipulation technique was reported in detail in books by Wilson Bryan Key, which were published before 1980 and are probably no longer available. The author then died from a complication during an operation. A quiet suspicion remained to me when I read this, whether there was possibly an intention behind it... In the advertising industry surely many were very annoyed by these debunkings. Another nasty variant is death symbols in the ad. What for? Many people among us do not have much desire to live, but also do not have the courage to kill themselves. Alcohol and cigarette advertisements with hidden death symbols subliminally signal to us: Drink more, smoke more, so you can die sooner.<sup>47</sup>

Sexuality with violence may have a special attraction for some men (only rarely women), but this is then a sign of a psychopathological personality. It may also mean that satanic forces are manipulating the man. He may even be possessed. Thus, this becomes a worship to Satan! That is why violent sexual acts are always part of satanic rituals, up to killing the victim at the end. The Dutch bank official Ronald Bernhard<sup>48</sup> has exposed in a series of videos how this goes on in the financial world with a masonic basis. Of course, a "debunking of the debunking" later appeared as an expected countermove. The movie *Eyes Wide Shut*, for which Stanley Kubrick was murdered, shows an unfortunately real secret world! Who wants to deny this, is either naive or belongs to it himself.

There are descriptions of *succubi* and *incubi* in old texts and in the popular belief. These are supposed to be demonic entities, which would attack humans in the sleep in order to have sex with them. There are many indications that there are entities that have a great interest in sexuality among people, in order to mislead people and seduce them to deviant forms of sex. They would thereby take part in feelings of pleasure and rob sexual energies from people. However, this can also be interpreted psychopathologically.

On the other hand, it is repeatedly reported that people who were abducted by extraterrestrials also experienced sex with abductors. Some women were pregnant afterwards and allegedly even had hybrid children. That must probably be classified in the category "rape" (even if here some reported about extraordinarily intensive feelings of delight).

What does not please God, pleases Satan...

Is sexuality then a sin? Of course not! It only depends on how, where and the circumstances. Sexuality in love is recognized in the Bible, at least indirectly. Every form of violence (also psychical) drags sexuality into the dirt. Responsible sexuality in mutual understanding is supposed to be happy for both. Sexuality with a child can never be responsible. Today's trend towards early sexualization in the education of children is evil. One wants to talk oneself out of it by mentioning as a "fact" that a child should know and be aware if someone wants to try something like that with it. But on the contrary, one rather arouses a curiosity, so that the child may possibly get involved in such a game and become a victim more easily. It even seems to me that this is a secret intention. But this way it can never be done in love.

And same-sex sexuality? As indicated, it usually takes two to do it in mutual give and take. How does someone become homosexual? An old thesis claims that one is seduced to it, but rather one is born to it! Or an abusive sexual experience as a child can lead to it. The reader may not want to believe in reincarnation – his problem – but in the so-called "regression therapy" with homosexuals one often finds that in a former life a very negative and painful experience with the other sex is behind it. Of course, this is not always the case, but it is a reason to deal positively with people of this disposition and to treat them as full members of our society. It should be analogous with every form of "deviant" sexuality, except of course pedophilia. There a red line is crossed, because the child may suffer damage to its soul for life. Pedophilia is always a form of rape.

Today's policy on transsexuality and gender reassignment is certainly a violation of the plan of creation. Not only can one "make money" by offering this option, but no matter how you slice it, the

truth is that gender is determined by our chromosomes, not by looks, clothing, or feelings. Today we even start to distinguish between menstruating and non-menstruating women (and it will probably become analogous for men)! Another degeneration of our society. Group sex probably can't have much to do with love either, but whoever wants to should be allowed to do it, as long as it remains voluntary. All forms of LGBTQ are of course varieties of being human, wanting to be themselves among themselves – and being allowed to. It seems that this leads to new variants of sexuality, which have little or nothing to do with love. There seems to be an effort to break up or split the conventional form of families, because in them children are conceived, which one does not want to have or at least keep under control in the New World Order and in the Great Reset with regard to limiting the population growth. Forms of sexuality which do not lead to pregnancy are therefore stimulated. As a result of this, we will become inwardly lonely with such deviations, because there is little or no more room for real love.

Another thing is the secret pedophile mafia that traffics children. It is repeatedly reported that pedophiles can even “order” children on the Internet to be “made available” by an organization for child prostitution. There are repeated reports of “child farms,” often in secret underground facilities (DUMBS), and that there are “child factories” where captive women give birth to children who are then offered on “the market.” Such reports quickly disappear and the children “after use” soon disappear as well, not being registered anywhere. Reports are quickly dismissed as “conspiracy theories.” Police investigations are stopped early. It is very obvious that corresponding organizations have members up to the highest positions in the society, where they have the power to intervene.<sup>49</sup> A politician will not become high-ranking without being a member of a lodge, with very undesirable relations. For example, “Skull and Bones” in the USA. In Europe, in the early 2020es, some things were almost exposed in connection with the Marc Dutroux affair in Belgium, where a “small fish” was sacrificed to keep the big fishes out. These “fishes ” live under the sword of Damocles that their dark sides will come out in the open if they don't “toe the line.” There will be no doubt that much is being concealed in the U.S. in connection with Jeffrey Epstein. To mention just two examples. This organized pedophilia is one of the very worst crimes of mankind!

### **Touch and physical contact in love**

Sexuality is, of course, an extreme form of physical contact. To what extent is this only touch and where is it beyond that sexuality? The “border” will of course be where genitals are involved. But until then, it is actually almost vital that we touch each other in proper ways!

Let's start with childhood. On a trip to Sweden in the 1990s, I saw a book in a bookstore whose title “touched” me (translated): “I'll die if you don't touch me.” Subtitle: “The importance of tactile communication for child development.” And I would add, “... especially for the feeling of being loved!” This is true already from birth. The child can not yet understand the language and all talk of “I love you” goes, as they say, into one ear and out through the other – on the other hand, the eye contact is of course important! And in addition perhaps still more the touch! With tender skin contact the baby has, more than of anything else, the feeling of being loved! To be held in the arms, embraced, caressed, touched.

There are parents who touch the baby only when diapers need to be changed. Some may think that it is otherwise not appropriate. There could be illicit sexual feelings. Maybe for the father but also for the mother. But of course not for the child! It quite simply only feels loved, because it does not understand anything else yet. And it needs to feel loved!

I did a regression therapy with a woman decades ago. She had inexplicable negative feelings for her father, as if he rejected her. She relived a situation as a baby. While bathing, her mother would lay her naked on a towel while filling up the tub. That's when the father used to play with her and tickle her, which she enjoyed very much. One day, however, the mother said something and he stopped doing it. That was an almost painful break for the girl. Why doesn't he tickle me? Doesn't he like me anymore?

When the parents wanted to say something to each other that the child should not understand, they said it in French (it was in Switzerland). It was so this time. She told the man while tickling, “Laisse ça, ce n’est pas bon pour elle!” That is “Don’t do that, it’s not good for her!” It was only in the regression that she understood these words (as an adult she had learned French)! So it was the mother who intervened and probably thought it was not proper – apparently not for the girl, rather than for the father. There a nonsensical prudery of the mother has really caused damage...

This case, in my opinion, illustrates the importance of skin contact, of course, in cases like this which are completely non-sexual, and as long as it remains so, it is not only okay, but it manifests love or at least friendship. It is very unfortunate that many children are denied learning this language of love, not only for mental health reasons, but also in terms of partnerships later in life.

In a way, physical contact enables soul connection. That is why we should allow ourselves to hug friends, relatives and so on and not shy away from it. In some cultures this is common, but in some others unfortunately considered uncultivated...

### **Mesopotamian ideas about life after death<sup>50</sup>**

Quote (translated): “The gods of the Babylonian-Assyrian people are gods of the living, who punish iniquity while alive and repay good deeds while alive. The happiness of the pious is communion with the gods; god-forsakenness is the punishment of the wicked. The reward for righteous conduct is earthly prosperity, long life and lasting offspring... On the other hand, sickness and infirmity, extinction of offspring, sudden death – are punishments for iniquity on earth.” Wages and atonement then belong essentially to the world on this side, which may seem somewhat contradictory to us, because we would rather expect for a life in prosperity – but only so far as at the expense of others and the environment – a bill to be paid in the hereafter, as well as that the one who had to suffer on this side – only so far as unjustly – would get redemption and compensation. The Mesopotamian underworld was therefore not primarily understood as a place for punishment or reward, but rather as another world, which may also have its “gray everyday life.” A soul concept in our sense does not exist in the Mesopotamian world view, but rather a continued existence as a kind of spirit being, which is called *etemmu* in Akkadian and *gidim* in Sumerian. It is similar to the physical body one has left.

This underworld (*iri.gal*, “great city”) is described as dark and like a house into which one enters but does not come out from. However, the text “Inanna’s passage to the underworld” describes how the goddess Inanna (Ishtar) is able to force the seven gates to the underworld open in order to free her lover Dumuzi (Tammuz) in that world with tricks. But the tricks have their price...

The Jewish and biblical conception of a place *sheol*, where the souls go after death, has similarities with the Mesopotamian conception, whereby very well judgment and punishment, up to the eternal damnation and/or heavenly reward is an essential aspect, particularly in connection with Christianity.

### **Some other creation stories**

Above the creation story relevant for our Bible was historically traced back to Sumer, where the search so far ended, even if the Sumerian version deviates considerably from the biblical one, which actually omitted essential contents in *Enuma Elish*. It was also noted that the Zarathustrian or Zoroastrian worldview had no small influence into the Mesopotamian world. The Zoroastrian creation story is therefore likely to be significant in this context.

Zarathustra (Zoroaster) is roughly estimated to have lived about one millennium before Jesus and founded an ancient Persian religion, which is set forth in a collection of writings called *Avesta*, including a creation story *bundahishn*. In the Zarathustrian worldview, Ahura Mazda (or Ohrmazd) is the good and supreme god in the infinite light and Ahriman or Angra Mainyu is the evil spirit in the darkness who wants to destroy the work of Ahura Mazda and sabotage everything good. First the spiritual world was created, then the material world.

The word *ahura* is *hásura* in the proto-Indo-Iranian original language and can be understood as a benevolent lord of creation. It corresponds to *asura* in the Indian Rigveda, wherein the word can

denote both good and evil beings. Ahriman alias Angra Mainyu has a partner Jeh or Jeh-Az, who is also considered to be the first woman (and moreover a “whore”...).

There is no direct explanation of where either Ahriman or Ahura Mazda came from. They are called twin brothers. Already when they were together in the womb, their father Zurvan reckoned that Ahura Mazda should be born first and decreed that the first to come out should rule over the world.

Ahriman heard this, and because he was not simply a baby, but probably the incarnation of an entity, he tore open the mother’s womb, got out, and went to the father and demanded world dominion, claiming that he was Ahura Mazda. Zurvan now could not change his statement, although he recognized this as a lie, but gave the world domination to Ahriman with the condition that after 9000 years Ahura Mazda should become the sole ruler.

Well, but who was Zurvan? It is said that he is the god of time and space, whatever this means... And who was the mother? Was Zurvan perhaps a hermaphrodite and impregnated himself (such a thing has been suggested)? Where did he come from? Where did he get the right to decide who gets to rule the world? Questions remain unanswered.

The only parallel to *Enuma Elish* in this story is probably that Ahura Mazda and Ahriman were twin brothers, because in the further development from the Sumerian story it came to the fact that two brothers “rivaled” for the power over mankind and still do it today: Christ and Satan...

#### *Hindu creation stories*

Geographically close to Persia is India. Here we find somewhat different accounts. Creation is generally spoken of as the story of *purusha* and *prakriti*. In the earlier Vedas, *Purusha* is a deity who is sacrificed by the gods, giving rise (from his body parts) to all life forms. The Rigveda says, “*Purusha* is all that has existed and all that will exist.” In the famous *samkhya* philosophy, *purusha* is a cosmic principle of pure consciousness that is inactive, unchanging, eternal and pure. *Prakriti* is matter as a general concept, unspecified. When *purusha* combines with *prakriti*, life arises. Things happen simply because *purusha* wants it, out of a mere wish, but he does not *do* them.

*Prakriti* is characterized by three qualities or *gunas*: *sattva* or goodness, truth and tranquility; *rajas* or activity, motion and passion; and *tamas* or inertia, ignorance and sluggishness. These three seek a balance. But if they come out of equilibrium, for example because of a disturbance or also because an inactive “will” to do so arises in the *purusha*, the *gunas* rearrange themselves until a new but often different equilibrium arises. This principle, among others, is of fundamental importance in the Indian medical science Ayurveda, because a health disorder has to do with an imbalance in our body and its system, and the goal is then to restore this balance.

There is throughout, especially in Rigveda, a distinction between *asuras* and *devas*. The *asuras* are seen as negative and the *devas* are seen as positive. *Asuras* are powerful demigods with both good and bad qualities. *Devas* are consistently positive beings, sometimes called *suras*, but this is considered by some linguists to be a misinterpretation of the word *asura*, namely if one wants understand “a-” as a negation. Then “*sura*” should be the opposite of *asura*, but this will not be the correct explanation.

One feature in Indian creation stories that is sometimes mentioned is the golden egg, *hiranyagarbha*, literally “the golden womb.” It is the soul of the universe, or *Brahman*. It first floated around in emptiness and darkness for a long time until it broke in two and creation could occur. *Brahman* is the unmanifested Creator God, who then manifests as *Brahma*, who then forms the Hindu trinity *trimurti* with *Vishnu* and *Shiva*. Vishnu is the upholder of the creation and Shiva the destroyer, who does not simply destroy unconditionally, but also rather transforms. However, destruction is his task if there shall be a *pralaya*, especially *mahapralaya*, the dissolution of creation, which is to be followed by a new creation.

There are some variations of the theme of creation in Hindu teachings and philosophies, but with what is described here the essentials are mentioned. It is rather difficult, however, to see correspondences to *Enuma Elish*. However, the sacrifice of the deity *Purusha*, mentioned at the begin-

ning, in order to let living beings arise from his body parts, reminds of the use of the body parts of *Tiamat*, in order to build a world with them.

The motive with an egg, here *hiranyagarbha*, reminds interestingly of the creation story in the Finnish national epic Kalevala. In it, Ilmatar (also called Luonnotar), a female spirit of the air, longed for a son. She then became pregnant with the old and wise Väinämöinen, the child of the wind, but as he was still not born after 700 years, she gave up her hope. Then she saw a diving duck looking for a breeding place, raised her knee and offered it to her for breeding. The duck laid seven eggs there, one of which was an iron egg, which fell down and broke. From this then the creation came into being. Then, finally, Väinämöinen (still as an old wise man...) was also born, who created life on earth.\*

#### *Tibetan Creation Story*

In the beginning, water was everywhere in the world and there was hardly any place for biological life. But then the water gradually evaporated and land became available in places. One day a monkey swam towards a beach and got out of the water. Knowing nothing but that a solitary ascetic life would await him there, he settled on Mount Gongori, but there a demon approached him who was an incarnation of the female Bodhisattva *Tara*. She told the monkey that if he did not marry her, she would marry a demon to give birth to countless offspring who would be devils who would destroy humanity and kill thousands. The monkey sought advice from *Avalokiteshvara* (*Chenresig*) who assured him that the marriage was his destiny and as a great deed would set the country of Tibet on the right path. Then this marriage took place.

Six monkey children were born, who were to be the ancestors of the Tibetan people, but three years later they had already become 500 and the forest in which they lived could no longer support them. Avalokhiteshvara gave them seeds and taught them how to plant them, so they became self-sufficient. From then on, they gradually lost their hair and tails and became human beings. Then they learned to make tools from bones and stones. Now a civilization emerged, which became the Tibetan people.

In an alternative version of this story, it is said that it was Avalokhiteshvara himself who incarnated as a monkey and married a *rakshasi* (weiblicher *rakshasa*, a variety of *asuras*). With this, he sacrificed her for the coming humanity, because the world was full of demons.

#### *The creation of the world in Norse mythology*

In the beginning there was only a great dark void called Ginnungagap, a yawning emptiness. To the north of this void was Niflheim, where there was only frost, ice and mist. To the south was Muspelheim, where fires burned so hot that there was only lava, flames and smoke, and where the fire giant Surtr lived along with fire demons and fire giants.

There was also a water spring Hvergelmir from which cold rivers flowed, which together are called Élivágar. Their water froze into a spreading thick layer in all directions. Between Niflheim and Muspelheim the fires melted the ice and it took a human form, that of a giant called *Ymir*. When he slept, he began to sweat and under his arms appeared two other giants, male and female. Between his legs arose a son named Thrudgelmir. These became the first of a family of frost giants called *jötnar*. They were able to drink milk from a giant cow called *Auðumbla*, which was also created from the melting ice.

The cow Auðumbla fed on a salty block of ice, from which it licked, and then human hair appeared in the ice. Continuing to lick, Buri, a giant, finally emerged. He later had a son with his wife Bestla, named Borr. From Borr and Bestla three sons were born, Odin, Vili and Ve. More and more giants continued to be born. Odin and the sons were then concerned that they might outnumber the Æsir, and saw that the only solution for them was to kill Ymir. Now a cruel battle began. They succeeded in killing Ymir so that his blood flowed in all directions and most of the giants drowned in it. Only

---

\* The Kalevala has interesting hints on a reincarnation belief that will have been more expressed in the old original version. It is presumed that the christianization has regrettably led to modifications of the corresponding passages. The same may be assumed concerning ancient Irish traditions, in which Celtic views on reincarnation have been altered.

two giants survived, Bergelmir and his wife, who fled and found a refuge in the land of fog. Then from them came all future giants. Here again, the world is built from the body parts of a god. Ginnungagap reminds of the chaos (understood as emptiness) in the Bible: *tohu wa-bohu*.

Now who are the Æsir? The word is the plural form of *Ás*\* (god). They are the main gods of the Norse faith. A second group of gods is the Vanir, characterized by fertility, wisdom and foresight. Only men are actually called Æsir, while women are more commonly called *Ásynjur*. The Æsir did not create the cosmos, but people and worlds within the cosmos. In ancient times, these groups are said to have warred with each other, but later came to an agreement. Today the Vanir are considered rather as a subgroup under the Æsir.

Today in Iceland a renewed religion, *Ásatrú* (*trú* = faith), unfolds, the faith in the Æsir.

#### *Mayan Creation Story*

In the heart of heaven (a group of gods), Hunab Ku slept dreamlessly for thirteen eternities until he awoke and the heart of heaven caused the seventh god to appear, the demiurge or creator god with seven manifestations. The seventh god understood that all these manifestations must work together in every kind of creation to bring it to fruition.

These gods went to two others, Tepeu and Gucumatz, who made the earth through the power of their words. Then they also wanted to make living beings to worship them and thank them for the work of creation. This was not so easy for them, because the first of these creations were incomplete and could not speak. They then tried to make humans out of clay and then out of wood, also without success, and they then destroyed these “experimental humans.” The next attempt with cornmeal mush then succeeded well. These could speak, but saw everything clearly, near and far, and also understood everything. This went too far for Tepeu and Gucumatz, for they should not see as well as the gods and not understand too much. Then they clouded their vision and their minds, so that they saw only their surroundings and understood no more than was expected of them. Tepeu and Gucumatz then also created women for them and these people then became the ancestors of today.

The first failed attempts to create humans remind of the Sumerian text *Atrahasis*, in which not only the Flood is described, but also how one wanted to create earth humans by genetic manipulation, whereby the first attempts failed.

#### *Creation story of the Hopi.*

The Hopi belong to the indigenous people in northeastern Arizona and have their own creation story in their culture. In the beginning there was only Tawa, the sun god, and Kokyanwuhti, the spider woman. Tawa ruled the upper world and the spider woman ruled the lower. They both divided so that Muyinwuh became a part of Tawa, while Huzruyuwuhti became a part of the Spider Woman. These parts became the first lovers. They then discovered that they had the same thought, which was to create the earth between above and below. For this, they sang the first magical chant about winds and flowing water, about light and sound and life. Their thoughts manifested.

In a more detailed version, Tawa (or Taiowa) first created Sotuknang and gave him the power to create life. Sotuknang then created the spider woman Kokyanwuhti. She took earth, mixed it with her saliva, formed two figures with it and sang the creation chant over them. Then she gave them the names Pöqanghoya and Palöngawhoya.

But these first people were mutes. Sotuknang was brought and gave them speech. Thus, the people of the first world came into being. However, they later lost their inner vision. Their head center, the gateway to knowledge, was closed. Some were then sent underground to live with the ant people while Sotuknang destroyed the first world. After the destruction, they came up again in the second world. In this second world, however, they became greedy and forgot to sing the song of creation. Now Sotuknang also destroyed this second world, while selected people returned to the ant people

---

\* In Icelandic „á“, i.e. with an accent, is pronounced as a diphthong „ao“, ú like „oo“, „æ“ like „ai“.



to be saved from destruction. After that, they were able to colonize the third world. After the Flood had come over the third world, they finally settled the fourth.

It is interesting that also the Hopi tell of a Flood: People began to use their creative abilities for evil and destruction. Some of them made a *patuwvota* (a shield of skin) and with their creative abilities were able to make it fly through the air. Upon this, many people flew to a large city, attacked it, and returned so quickly that almost no one knew where they had come from. Soon people of many cities and countries made *patuwvotas* and flew out to attack each other. Thus, corruption and war came to the Third World, just as it had come to the others. At that time, Sotuknang came to the spider woman and said: ‘...Something must be done so that the people who have the song in their hearts are not also corrupted and killed... But I will help them. Then you will save them when I destroy this world with water.’... Thus he caused the waters to come upon the earth. Waves higher than mountains rolled towards the land. Parts of the earth broke apart and sank into the seas. The rain continued and the waves rolled in. Some people saved themselves in hollow logs and were able to float on the water with them.

This creation story has a bit of similarity to the Mayan one.

There are many other creation stories from the traditions of indigenous populations around the world. One would expect that there would also be a Celtic creation story, which is looked for quite in vain – but there are attempts at reconstruction, however. It will certainly be so, as some authors express, that the Christianization in the Celtic area led to the fact that unfortunately some views deviating from Christianity were removed. And this certainly does not concern only the Celtic culture. A dictate of faith that is a calamity.

*Then who created the Anunnaki?*

In more recent times, information has emerged about entities called Ushumgal. These are supposed to be reptilian beings, which allegedly created the Anunnaki. One finds different opinions about it. There is still little published about this, but there will surely be more, so that we can get an overview later.<sup>51</sup>

It came to me while reading about it like an inspiration that these beings should be quite far above, where they are not perceptible from beings some levels lower in the hierarchy and all the more not from us three-dimensional ones. If they want to descend some levels, they may probably put on “diving suits”, so to speak, like a human being who wants to be under water. Such “suits” could then appear to us like reptiles, even if they don’t have to be dragons.

### **Birth pangs and stillbirth of a world order**

The New World Order is imminent in its most rabid form so far. The form planned earlier is described in my book in German: *Die Manipulationen der Anunnaki* (pp. 45-54) and will have emerged from masonic movements, which today are probably all dominated by the Illuminati. The new form is the so-called “Great Reset” of Klaus Schwab by his World Economic Forum as a pincer birth into the world, probably he is today’s Albert Pike (possibly his reincarnation?), which is also described in my mentioned book. This time it is about the most complete enslavement and “liberation” of mankind – except for the elite in a thin upper class of a two-class society<sup>52</sup>. This is to be achieved by the above-mentioned transhumanism, where man is devalued to be a tentacle of a digital world machine, a satanic octopus, which monitors and controls all of us (except the elite). Nothing shall belong to us then, not even our body nor our decisions. And with it we will supposedly be happy! This happiness illusion is then simply a signal command from the artificial god in the machine: “Feel happy!” without knowing because of what and for what, because so the human being is to be put simply apart from the elite to let them rest and not to disturb. In earlier centuries, people sometimes put a piece of bread dipped in liquor in the baby’s mouth, so that it remained silent. Now this can also be done digitally... The machine is then the modern tree of knowledge. Don’t ask unauthorized questions, you may only know what you are allowed to know, everything else will be punished with “unauthorized access attempt” and the like.

Klaus Schwab was Henry Kissinger's student at the Tavistock Institute in London and is the son of a Nazi industrial Eugen Schwab who employed forced laborers in his company in Ravensburg.<sup>53</sup>

One of the first government leaders "trained" by Schwab is Canada's Justin Trudeau, who ostensibly has several other "trained" people in his parliament to approve him. He has now started an eugenics program and is reported to have said, when spoken to about the poor in society, that they should just kill themselves!<sup>54</sup>

### **The New World Order sneaks in through the back door**

In Kazakhstan (until 1990 Kazakh Soviet Socialist Republic) a world center for illuminati freemasonry is being established. The capital is now called Nur-Sultan, but until recently was called Astana. The renaming took place March 23, 2019, and might be justified with the fact that the name Astana signals all too clearly what is intended with the rapid construction of this world center, because this name jumps in the eye almost as SATANA. The optical impression of this city is on the one hand impressive, on the other hand really depressing. Who would like to live there?<sup>55</sup>

The city used to be called Akmola or Akmolinsk and became the capital of Kazakhstan on December 10, 1997 under the name Astana, which role was played until then by another city Almaty (or Alma-Ata). The former capital has a special historical connection with the World Health Organization (WHO), which was founded in Geneva in 1948. In 1978, the WHO declared the "Alma-Ata Declaration" on health, a fundamental human right and primary health care" to be a key WHO concept. In 2018, this was supplemented by the "Astana Declaration" with a stronger emphasis on primary health care.

In 2022, it was announced that WHO intends to elevate itself to a world government. It wants to take over decision-making power on health issues from the world's national governments. The governments are to commit themselves not to decide on the public health, in particular concerning pandemics and vaccinations and appropriate social coercive measures, but to keep to the guidelines of the WHO. The so-called "pandemic" that has just been is to be abused by them as motivation for it to establish a health "Soviet Empire." Of course probably also here the spider in the net is to be transferred to Satana – sorry: Nur-Sultan. The circle closes! What the Soviet Union did not reach in terms of world domination by ideology, military power and liberty suppression, is to be reached now with health terror, and this is to be transferred to a former Soviet state. But it fits a "Great Reset"...

At the time of writing, a new "threat" is beginning. They have reached into the box of pathogens and brought out a monkeypox virus, with which they now want to start the game of scaremongering anew. And one of course has a vaccination against it ready. It seems to me, however, that the monkeys suffering from monkeypox are not worse off than those of us who had chickenpox as children. Those who had them should then have a good chance of being immune to monkeypox as well. Of course, if you're scared enough, you can die from anything, such as a simple cold. Risking unknown side effects and even possible permanent damage for that may be too high a price to pay.

### **What was before?**

The beginning is described in Enuma Elish as the trinity Apsu-Tiamat-Mummu. What was before? Or was there no before? The well-known Big Bang theory assumes that the beginning of everything is when something suddenly expanded explosively. Of course, it is extremely difficult to imagine that there was nothing before that at all. But if there was an explosion, there must have been *something* that exploded (at least expanded)? There must nevertheless have been something there then. Then it was just a *nothing*! The question then becomes different: What was this *nothing*? Something which does not exist in the universe known to us. Another universe then, which is unknown to us three-dimensional people. Hence not a nothing, but something we do not know. If we assume the 12-dimensional world view (leaning on Dionysios Areopagita – see above), this "unknown to us" simply did not exist in our three-dimensional region – but only in higher dimensions. If we separated ourselves from it by the "deicide", there was no longer this "unknown to us." It then became a relative nothing for us, which could expand, so to speak, from the breaking point, as if something streamed in there. This nothing (for us) will be no emptiness, but a completely different world. A

living world with “higher” entities, which we can hardly imagine. A world with the most divine of all gods, higher than for example Jahweh&Co.

Even if we try to start from a hypothetical cyclic world view (see above), the question becomes different but similar. The relative nothing is then outside of the cycle.

**I close this study with a thought which is relevant in these times. What does His second coming with the sword mean (Matt 10:34): “Do not think that I have come to send peace on earth. I did not come to send peace, but the sword”? Could this be referring to World War 3? There are predictions that the 3rd world war will be short and then Yeshua will come again.**

## SUPPLEMENT

### Babel and the Bible

Friedrich Delitzsch (1850-1922) was a famous German assyriologist who was one of the first to address the question of whether the Bible originated in Babylonia. He gave a series of lectures about this in 1902-1904, which triggered the “Babel-Bible controversy”, in which many opponents published their offense about the fact that one can and may question this at all. He also published a Bible criticism, *The Great Deception*, which was equally outrageous to dissenters, and which questioned the correctness of the language in the Bible and its translation much more than its content. He had such a good command of the Hebrew language that he even wrote a comprehensive correction of the Bible texts. As a justified reason for this, in his opinion, he stated that when manually copying older texts of the Hebrew Bible, in some cases similar letters could be mixed up or otherwise misread, after which no correction followed in the copy. The tradition forbade to change this, because one was of the opinion that also a spelling mistake was wanted by God... Thus some mistakes could be uncorrectable in future versions!

A predecessor to Delitzsch was Eberhard Schrader (1836-1908), probably the first German assyriologist. His first work *Studien zur Kritik und Erklärung der biblischen Urgeschichte* (Studies concerning Critique and Explanations of the Original History of the Bible) was rather a word explanation of the Hebrew Bible text. A second expanded edition *Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament* (The Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament) was probably judged to be “too” critical of the Bible, and was perhaps therefore “toned down” and redesigned as a third edition, revised by two other (co-)authors.

### Literature

#### Friedrich Delitzsch:

*Babel und Bibel* (Babel and Bible), 1st lecture, J.C. Hinrichs'sche Buchhandlung, Leipzig, 1902.

*Rückblick und Ausblick* (Retrospect and Outlook): Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, Stuttgart 1904

2. und 3. Vortrag (2nd and 3rd lecture), Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, Stuttgart 1904 and 1905

*Die Große Täuschung* (The Great Deception), Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, Stuttgart and Berlin, 1920

2. Teil (2nd part), Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, Stuttgart and Berlin, 1922

*Die Lese- und Schreibfehler im Alten Testament* (The Reading and Writing Errors in the Old Testament), Vereinigung Wissenschaftlicher Verleger, Walter de Gruyter & Co, Berlin and Leipzig, 1920

#### Eberhard Schrader:

*Studien zur Kritik und Erklärung der biblischen Urgeschichte* (Studien zur Kritik und Erklärung der biblischen Urgeschichte), Meyer & Zeller, Zurich 1863.

*Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament* (The Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament), 1872.

*Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament* (The Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament), J. Rickersche Buchhandlung, Giessen, 1883

*Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament* (The Cuneiform Inscriptions and the Old Testament) 3rd edition “*Religion und Sprache* (Religion and Language)”, with Heinrich Zimmern and Hugo Winckler, published by Reuther and Reichhard 1903

- Rene Andrew Boulay, *Flying Serpents and Dragons*, The Book Tree, Escondido, CA, greatly expanded edition 1999
- Jack Barringer, *Past Shock*, The Book Tree, Escondido CA, 2001
- Arthur David Horn, *Humanity's Extraterrestrial Origins*, Silberschnur, 1994 (private edition by author Horn)
- Jan Erik Sigdell:  
*Reinkarnation, Christentum und das kirchliche Dogma*, Ibero, Wien, 2001  
*Es begann in Babylon*, Holistika, Meckenheim, 2008  
*Die Herrschaft der Anunnaki*, AMRA, Hanau, 2016  
*Reign of the Annunaki*, Baer & Co, Rochester VT, 2018  
*Die Manipulationen der Anunnaki*, AMRA, Hanau, 2020  
*Der geheime Krieg der Anunnaki*, AMRA, Hanau, 2. Auflage 2020
- Ann Madden Jones, *The Yahweh Encounters*, The Sandbird Publishing Group, Chapel Hill NC, 1995.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibel#Bibelkritik>
- <sup>2</sup> John Sassoon: *From Sumer to Jerusalem*, Intellect Books, Oxford, 1993
- <sup>3</sup> <http://world-history-education-resources.com/mesopotamia/zoroastrianism-mesopotamia.html>
- <sup>4</sup> <https://olli.gmu.edu/docstore/600docs/1403-651-3-Zoroastrianism,%20Judaism,%20and%20Christianity.pdf>
- <sup>5</sup> Wilfred G. Lambert: *Babylonian Creation Myths*, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake IN, 2103, 640 S
- <sup>6</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nancy\\_Sandars#Early\\_life\\_and\\_education](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nancy_Sandars#Early_life_and_education)
- <sup>7</sup> [https://faculty.gvsu.edu/websterm/Enuma\\_Elish.html](https://faculty.gvsu.edu/websterm/Enuma_Elish.html)
- <sup>8</sup> <http://www.engelschule.de/info/hierarchy.php>
- <sup>9</sup> <http://thelostbooks.org/gospel-of-the-hebrews/> und <https://ia800201.us.archive.org/26/items/thegospelaccordi00nichuoft/thegospelaccordi00nichuoft.pdf>
- <sup>10</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tiamat>
- <sup>11</sup> [https://faculty.gvsu.edu/websterm/Enuma\\_Elish.html](https://faculty.gvsu.edu/websterm/Enuma_Elish.html)
- <sup>12</sup> Zecharia Sitchin: *The 12th Planet*, Avon Books, New York, 1978
- <sup>13</sup> <https://the-red-thread.net/marduk-yhwh-comparisons.html>
- <sup>14</sup> <https://www.csp.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Isaiah-and-Creation.pdf>
- <sup>15</sup> <http://www.etana.org/sites/default/files/coretexts/20411.pdf>
- <sup>16</sup> <https://danizier.wordpress.com/2011/04/22/paul-vs-jesus-and-james/> Apr 22, <https://jesuswordsonly.github.io/JWO/pauls-contradictions-of-jesus.html>
- <sup>17</sup> <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/apocjn-long>.
- <sup>18</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tzimtzum>
- <sup>19</sup> <https://archive.org/details/YahwehAndTheGodsAndGoddessesOfCanaan>
- <sup>20</sup> John Day: *Yahweh and the Gods and Goddesses of Canaan*, Journal for the Study of the Old Testament, Supplement 265, Sheffield Academic Press, London and New York, 2002. Kapitel 1.
- <sup>21</sup> <https://occult-world.com/satanael-satanail>
- <sup>22</sup> <http://www.pseudepigrapha.com/pseudepigrapha/TheBookOfAdam.htm>
- <sup>23</sup> [http://gnosis.org/library/Interrogatio\\_Johannis.html](http://gnosis.org/library/Interrogatio_Johannis.html)
- <sup>24</sup> <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Etymologiae>, [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isidor\\_von\\_Sevilla](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isidor_von_Sevilla), <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zeitalter>
- <sup>25</sup> <https://ia800905.us.archive.org/15/items/pdfy-R9zRtlssi4sDgDNt/The%20Second%20Book%20of%20Enoch%20%5BThe%20Book%20of%20The%20Secrets%20of%20Enoch%5D.pdf>
- <sup>26</sup> <https://www.diebibel-diewahrheit.at/119.html>
- <sup>27</sup> <https://ia801604.us.archive.org/34/items/KriminalgeschichteDesChristentumsBand3DieAlteKircheKarlheinzDeschner/Kriminalgeschichte%20des%20Christentums%20band%201%20%28Die%20Fr%C3%BChzeit%29%20-%20Karlheinz%20Deschner.pdf>
- <sup>28</sup> <https://thegodabovogod.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/Jehovah-Unmasked.pdf>
- <sup>29</sup> <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/got.html>
- <sup>30</sup> <https://www.skepticsannotatedbible.com/cruelty/long.html>, <https://thetruthrevolution.net/proof-that-yahweh-jehova-is-satan/>, <https://www.philoclopedia.de/2017/08/05/die-dunklen-seiten-der-bibel>
- <sup>31</sup> <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shechita>
- <sup>32</sup> <https://creation.com/noahs-flood-and-the-gilgamesh-epic-german>
- <sup>33</sup> <http://www.christian-reincarnation.com/PDF/Globe.pdf>

- <sup>34</sup> *Der Scientist*, Goldmann, München, 1986 <https://xdoc.pl/qdownload/john-c-lilly-der-scientist.html> bzw. *The Scientist*, Ronin Publishing, Berkeley, CA, 1997, <https://www.scribd.com/doc/4652055/Metaphysical-John-C-Lilly-The-Scientist-A-Metaphysical-Autobiography-v0-9>
- <sup>35</sup> <https://srbin.info/de/svet/ovo-je-istina-o-klausu-svabu-evo-ci-je-je-dete/>, [https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica2/sociopol\\_greatreset37.htm](https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica2/sociopol_greatreset37.htm) und <https://winteroak.org.uk/2020/10/05/klaus-schwab-and-his-great-fascist-reset/>
- <sup>36</sup> <https://srbin.info/de/svet/ovo-je-istina-o-klausu-svabu-evo-ci-je-je-dete/>, [https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica2/sociopol\\_greatreset37.htm](https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica2/sociopol_greatreset37.htm), <https://winteroak.org.uk/2020/10/05/klaus-schwab-and-his-great-fascist-reset/>, <http://www.williamengdahl.com/englishNEO24Dec2021.php>
- <sup>37</sup> <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adrenochrom>
- <sup>38</sup> <https://www.deutschlandfunkkultur.de/anne-applebaum-ueber-die-hungersnot-in-der-ukraine-1932-es-100.html>
- <sup>39</sup> <https://web.archive.org/web/20170201222140/https://holodomorinfo.com/2016/03/19/the-holodomor-genocides>
- <sup>40</sup> <http://spaeths.net/worldviews.htm> und [https://infogalactic.com/info/World\\_view#Causality](https://infogalactic.com/info/World_view#Causality)
- <sup>41</sup> <https://exopolitics.org/>
- <sup>42</sup> <https://projectcamelotportal.com/2021/06/14/mark-richards-captain-secret-space-program-all-12-interviews-3/>, <https://projectcamelotportal.com/tag/mark-richards/> und <https://www.spacecapn.com/>, [https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/sociopol\\_globalmilitarism180.htm](https://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/sociopol_globalmilitarism180.htm)
- <sup>43</sup> <https://www.hollowearthresearch.org/admiral-byrd-and-the-hollow-earth>
- <sup>44</sup> <https://www.theguardian.com/uk/2010/jan/01/noahs-ark-was-circular>, <https://blog.britishmuseum.org/was-the-ark-round-a-babylonian-description-discovered/>
- <sup>45</sup> <https://themillenniumreport.com/2019/06/soul-scalping-whats-really-going-on-with-all-the-vip-black-eyes/>, <https://www.veteranstoday.com/2019/11/05/henry-makow-soul-scalping-report-matches-secret-majestic-docs/>, <https://saviorsofearth.ning.com/profiles/blogs/soul-scalping-is-facial-bruise-mark-of-the-beast>, <https://prepareforchange.net/2018/08/22/the-black-eye-club/>, [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O\\_21JXGj7o](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O_21JXGj7o)
- <sup>46</sup> <https://emmyxblog.wordpress.com/2021/04/01/rudolf-steiner-impfstoff-soll-seele-der-menschheit-entfernen/>, <https://salomablog.wordpress.com/2021/01/24/rudolf-steiner-sah-1917-einen-impfstoff-voraus-der-alle-neigung-zur-spiritualitat-aus-den-seelen-der-menschen-austreiben-wurde/>, <https://ia904604.us.archive.org/22/items/rudolf-steiner-vortrag-zur-geistigen-impfung/Rudolf%20Steiner-%20Vortrag%20zur%20geistigen%20Impfung%20.pdf>
- <sup>47</sup> [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilson\\_Bryan\\_Key](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilson_Bryan_Key)
- <sup>48</sup> <https://www.investmentwatchblog.com/dutch-banker-ronald-bernard-blows-the-whistle-on-worlds-satanic-elite/>  
Kontra: <https://hoaxrevelations.wordpress.com/the-dutch-banker/>
- <sup>49</sup> Guido Grandt zu pädokriminellen Netzwerken „Sie agieren mitten unter uns!“: <https://www.guidograndt.de/>, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zaHeduAhxEM>
- <sup>50</sup> Alfred Jeremias: *Die babylonisch-assyrischen Vorstellungen vom Leben nach dem Tode*: <https://ia902706.us.archive.org/19/items/diebabylonischa01jeregoog/diebabylonischa01jeregoog.pdf>, <https://www.worldhistory.org/article/701/ancient-mesopotamian-beliefs-in-the-afterlife/>, [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inannas\\_Gang\\_in\\_die\\_Unterwelt](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inannas_Gang_in_die_Unterwelt)
- <sup>51</sup> <https://codigooculto.com/enigmas/usumgal-dioses-reptil-creadores-anunnaki/>, <https://www.ufo-spain.com/2020/05/31/usumgal-dioses-reptiloides-creadores-de-anunnaki/>
- <sup>52</sup> Satans Logenbruder: Der Freimaurer, der den Great Reset erfand: <https://www.freethewords.com/2021/10/10/satans-logenbruder-der-freimaurer-der-den-great-reset-erfand/>, sowie <https://uncutnews.ch/hochrangiger-freimaurer-will-mittels-big-reset-zur-weltdiktatur/>
- <sup>53</sup> <https://stillnessinthestorm.com/2021/03/klaus-schwab-was-henry-kissingers-pupil-and-the-son-of-a-nazi-collaborator-who-used-slave-labor-and-aided-nazi-efforts-to-obtain-the-first-atomic-bomb/>, [https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zigeunerzwangslager\\_in\\_Ravensburg](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zigeunerzwangslager_in_Ravensburg)
- <sup>54</sup> <https://www.armstrongeconomics.com/international-news/canada/canada-begins-eugenics-program/>, <https://www.armstrongeconomics.com/international-news/canada/trudeaus-advice-for-the-poor-kill-yourself/>
- <sup>55</sup> <https://www.globalresearch.ca/world-health-organisation-world-government/5773138>, <https://www.astanamyth.com/musings-1/2017/9/19/masonic-symbolism-in-the-city-of-astana-kazakhstan-leads-some-to-believe-it-is-the-command-centre-of-global-freemasonry-and-the-new-world-order>, <https://chodak.wixsite.com/die-warnung/astana---hauptstadt-von-der-ein-welt-religion>, <https://odysee.com/@Ironmaster:9/Astana---Die-gro%C3%9F-e-Stadt-der-neuen-Weltordnung:c>, <https://odysee.com/@chat-sauvage-en-resistance:f/KAZAKHSTAN---La-capitale-du-nouvel-ordre-mondial-Eurasien:7>